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765-767 Ocean Breeze Walk
Ocean Beach, New York 11770

MR. GRAY: On the Zoom call, we have Judy Steinman, David Lieber, Mike Mandarino, the Building Inspector, Ken Gray, Village Counsel. And, Joe, if you can identify who's in your room?

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Yes. We have Constantine Karalis, Joel Silverberg, Gina, and myself.

ALTERNATE MEMBER RAGUSA: Gina Ragusa.
MR. GRAY: That would be Gina Ragusa?
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: That's right. There's only one Gina.

And we have, the applicant is here, Maria Silsdorf and Ian Levine and for the Village, we have Jackie. Oh, and the Court Clerk too, Diane. (Referring to Leigh, the Court Reporter.)

Okay. So, I think we have a quorum present, so with your permission, Counsel, I'll call the meeting to order.

MR. GRAY: Please.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Okay. I think we just took roll call, so we can dispense with that. Because that's on the record, what we just discussed.

We have one item on the agenda today, and that is the subdivision of two properties on

Ocean Breeze, numbered 766, 767, and I can't read the last one. What is the last one?

MR. LEVINE: Seventy-five, 76, 77, 78, 79.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Seven Sixty-eight and 769, those 4 lots, 5 lots.

And as such, the applicant is here. So I'm going to turn the floor over to them to let them make their presentation of what they want to do, unless they would like me to describe something.

MS. SILDORF: Good morning, everybody. Thanks for joining us. It's beautiful here. I'm sorry you're not all here. This is Maria Silsdorf and I'm here with Ian Levine.

We have -- I'm sorry?
We have owned 768, which is the house I live in that you all know. You've all been in it.

MEMBER STEINMAN: Your sound is gone, Maria.
MS. SILDORF: Judy, you hear me?
MEMBER STEINMAN: I can now.
MS. SILDORF: Okay. So we've owned 768, which is on lot 768-769 for about 32 years now. We have been trying to buy the property next to us, which is lot 765, 6, and 7 going back to the
days of George Phillips. We finally were able to purchase it a couple moths ago. It's got a house, a one-story house on 765 and 766, and it's got an empty lot on 767, where you often see Ian's fire truck.

Our intent is to take the empty lot that's now attached to five and six and move it to be attached to eight and nine so that we will have our existing house with no change with the empty lot next to us and that we would look to sell off the new property, which will only be two lots, 765 and 766. There's an existing house on it, which may be remediated and renovated, or it may be torn down. Don't know, but we would be looking to keep the empty lot adjacent to our house to prevent a large house from being built, literally, right on top of us. So that's our intent.

My house has been standing since 1912, when I acquired it 32 years ago. It is exactly the same as it was. No change to anything, other than that we had a building permit to add an outdoor shower and a shed, again, when $I$ first bought the house. And the Phillips house, next to us, has been there for at least

80 years, as is. So there's been literally no change to the property in forever.

So, again, not to minimize what we're asking, but we're looking to take the empty lot and attach it to our property as a kind of buffer and then sell off the existing house on the two lots. Is that okay for an overview for context?

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Okay. You want to say anything else? Tell me if you're done. Okay. That's the applicant's presentation.

All of you on the Board, speaking to the Board now, everyone got a Board package electronically. You will get it via mail or you can pick one up when you come in. Bunny or Jackie, who's present here today, has them electronically. If you look in there at the package, you will see a sketch plan done by the Planning Board, thanks to Member Karalis. It pretty much delineates, graphically, with highlights and in color, all of the issues as pointed out by the building official, who's on the phone with us, and when I'm finished, you can question him if you like. Or just read what he did. The plan shows the first three of
his letter of denial, which precipitated an application to us.

We are, we just returned, I should say, the members here just returned from a visit to the properties to become familiar with all eight of the items shown on the sketch plan.

So it's all self-explanatory. I trust all of you have read it. If you have any questions, now is the time to ask them.

MEMBER SILVERBERG: Do we know what the elevations of the two buildings are, ground floor wise?

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: One shows 4.1 and the other one doesn't show.

MEMBER SILVERBERG: Are we to assume that both of them are below flood elevation?

MR. LEVINE: They were both flooded during Sandy.

MS. SILDORF: I don't know the answer to that question.

MEMBER SILVERBERG: I'm going to assume that.
MS. SILDORF: That they're below flood elevation?

MEMBER SILVERBERG: Yeah.
MS. SILDORF: My flood insurance is not
astronomical.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Is that your primary residence? Is that why you said "not astronomical"? If it is, that can be lowered. You can get a real break if that's your primary.

MS. SILDORF: No, no.
ALTERNATE MEMBER KARALIS: Also, we don't have heights on the surveys.

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Correct, we don't. You may want to add that as number nine.

ALTERNATE MEMBER KARALIS: It's actually the last note at the bottom.

MEMBER STEINMAN: Joe, we can't hear who's talking to you.

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Constantine is.
MS. SILDORF: What's the importance of needing the building height or ground elevation for this discussion?

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Maria, right now it's the Board.

MS. SILDORF: Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: I will let you interject. otherwise, we'll be here all day.

ALTERNATE MEMBER KARALIS: I was going to say that in order to ascertain compliance, there's a
list of items that, one, you have to check. For example, if the house is higher than is allowed, 24 feet, then that becomes another item to be noted by the Planning Board that is deficient, if it is. We don't know whether it is or isn't. That's going to become eventually apparent. Presumably, the next step is to go to the Zoning Board. At that time those items should be identified and enumerated.

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Okay. So anyone else have any questions?

ALTERNATE MEMBER LIEBER: I just had a question. I want to be clear. That in the middle there, number three on the chart, that's the garage, right?

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Yeah.
ALTERNATE MEMBER LIEBER: Is there a landscaping plan, or does there have to be a landscaping plan?

MR. GRAY: No.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Let me just interject here, myself, and that is that this is a subdivision application. It's not an application for a building permit. Let's be clear.

The applicant is -- what's that? It's not
a site plan review. The applicants have applied for a subdivision. That's what we're reviewing and going to forward onto others as needed.

Any other questions from the Planning Board?

MEMBER STEINMAN: Joe, I know you said it's self-explanatory, but it would real nice if you or Constantine would run through the items, one through eight, one through seven are self-explanatory, to show us what the impact of the changes that the applicant is proposing to make.

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Well, if you open your plan, you will find little numbers in circles that point to specific items on the sketch plan.

MS. STEINMAN: I have that in front of me.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Good. So take number one and then read number one and that's all --

MS. STEINMAN: I, for some reason, don't have that.

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: You don't have what?
MEMBER STEINMAN: I printed out everything that was sent, but there's no description except at the bottom of that diagram in color that says
the owner preposes to subdivide the property as described. That's the only one I see.

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: That's the only description there is, and that's the only description you'll get that somewhat articulates it. You need to -- Judy, you need to read that and then make up your mind about what it says.

ALTERNATE MEMBER RAGUSA: I think they were looking for a discussion about it.

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: If you want to have a discussion, we can do that.

ALTERNATE MEMBER RAGUSA: Take number one and the pros and the cons, right? So the whole Board and everyone on the phone understands. Pros and cons why the Building Inspector denied it and, you know, what they're allowed and what they're not allowed and then you can probably make a better decision on how to proceed.

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Okay, Gina. You can ask the Building Inspector. The first three circled numbers are from the letter of denial that the Building Inspector, who's on the phone, wrote. MR. GRAY: Joe, can I jump in for a second?

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Yeah.
MR. GRAY: So, Judy, what they're saying is,
if you were to approve this subdivision as proposed, the house on the right would be in violation of the side yard setback because it only has a seven-foot setback where eight feet is required and the existing ramp that's on that house and deck only has a four-foot setback where eight feet is required.

MEMBER STEINMAN: I see that as well.
MR. GRAY: Okay, so the number two is, what they propose is to maintain the preexisting, nonconforming accessory garage, which requires an eight-foot setback but only four feet exist.

MS. STEINMAN: Gotcha.
MR. GRAY: On the third issue, on number three, um --

MEMBER STEINMAN: Kenny, that garage would continue to be there; is that right?

MR. GRAY: That's as it's proposed right now. They're gonna have to go the Zoning Board, either come into compliance or go to the Zoning Board and get variances. I don't know if the applicant's desire is to maintain that garage or maybe the Zoning Board will require them to take it down? I don't know. That's all in the future.

MEMBER SILVERBERG: This is Joel speaking. I
believe that that garage will need a separate variance because of the fact that it's an accessory building that's bigger than 40 square feet.

MR. GRAY: Understood. You know, that's about it.

MEMBER STEINMAN: Five and six. Kenny, five and six?

MR. GRAY: Existing rear yard setback, not accepted by condition of the property. So there's a rear yard setback infringement. That's five percent.

MEMBER STEINMAN: And six?
BUILDING INSPECTOR MANDARINO: Green space requirement.

MR. GRAY: Oh, the green space requirement is compliant with both properties. The living area of Plot $B$ is only 1,022 --

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Square feet.
MR. GRAY: -- where 1600 is allowable.
Living area of Plot A is not calculated, hereby, but will comply in view of the living area. So the living area is all compliant.

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: All right. And neither survey, from which this sketch plan was developed,
includes building heights or ground elevation data. Except for the 769 building, which is 4.1 feet above mean high water in the bay. MR. GRAY: And eventually, we'll need new surveys of both properties.

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Right. The process is just what Ken said. We're going to make, I believe, at least in my opinion, I'm going to recommend to the Board that we come up with a tentative approval today, assuming the Board is amenable to that and refer this. The tentative approval would be conditioned on the compliance with the Building Inspector's letter in finding needed variances.

We would then refer to the ZBA to get those variances. After which, if they get them, they can do the work that's required by them, have the work surveyed, and produce two new surveys or one large survey encompassing both properties.

MR. GRAY: They would be better off with two separate surveys, Joe.

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: I agree with you, but just to get through this today. If you, Ken, as Village Attorney want one, we'll do one. Or if
you want two, we'll have two. I'll go with your recommendation for that. And then do the work, have it surveyed, submit the new survey to the Building Inspector, and ask for an inspection. He'll make an inspection. Assuming everything is done, we will have a final Planning Board meeting to grant a final approval of the subdivision. From that point, it gets processed to the county, I believe, correct me if I'm wrong, Ken, for recordation and then the Village is out of it. Okay. Did I explain that correctly?

MR. GRAY: It sounded good.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Very good.
MEMBER STEINMAN: Joe, I think it's appropriate to hear from the Building Inspector as to why he initially denied this.

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: He's on the phone. So, Judy, you can ask any questions you wish, as I had indicated earlier in the meeting.

MEMBER STEINMAN: Well, I just asked a very general question to Mike. Mike, why did you initially turn down this application or deny it?

BUILDING INSPECTOR MANDARINO: Well, I think Ken just explained it to you. The denials are one, two, three. They're requiring setbacks on
the side yard, the rear yard, and the rear accessory, the garage, as far as setbacks and size as being nonconforming. So that was already explained. If you want me to explain it again, I'd just be reading what Ken just read. MEMBER STEINMAN: No, I understand what you just said.

BUILDING INSPECTOR MANDARINO: Right. It's as simple as that. Basically, the subdivision creates a nonconforming setback for the house, for the accessory and maintaining the setback and the size of the accessory.

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Judy, do you have the Building Inspector's letter?

MEMBER STEINMAN: No.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Well, that's why you asked the question, I guess.

MEMBER STEINMAN: It wasn't in the packet that I got. Sorry.

BUILDING INSPECTOR MANDARINO: The one, two, three are basically the context of the letter. Most of the parts of the other letter --

MEMBER STEINMAN: One has to do with the side yard setback. Okay. Two has to do with the garage, and three has to do with the garage.
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BUILDING INSPECTOR MANDARINO: Right. One has to do with the setback and one has to do with the oversized accessory with nonconforming rear setback.

MEMBER STEINMAN: Gotcha. Okay.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Okay. Any other questions from any other members of the Board?
(No verbal response given.)
All right. Hearing none --
MR. LEVINE: Can I ask a question?
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: I will allow questions before we close out. Go ahead, Ian.

MR. LEVINE: Thank you. The height restriction that you're asking for us --

MEMBER STEINMAN: Who's speaking?
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Ian Levine.
MR. LEVINE: My name is Ian Levine, 768 Ocean Breeze. The height that you're asking for us to provide, is that when the new survey is done at the completion of everything? Or is that needed before we go in front of the ZBA?

MEMBER SILVERBERG: You need it before.
MR. LEVINE: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Yeah. We're not going to speak for the ZBA, but I would suggest you go in
there --
MR. LEVINE: Is it something official, or do we just drop a tape measure from the top of the house?

MEMBER SILVERBERG: No. A surveyor has to do it.

MR. LEVINE: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Also the flood elevation.
MEMBER SILVERBERG: First floor elevation is required. Overall height is required.

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Okay. Again, any other questions from anyone on the Board?
(No verbal response given.)
Hearing none, do I have -- Kenny, I guess procedurally, what, we close the public hearing?

MR. GRAY: You can close the public hearing and then entertain a motion to approve preliminary subdivision subject to zoning compliance.

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Okay. Would you draft such a motion and read it so that we could vote on it?

MR. GRAY: I just read it.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Okay.
Do I have a motion to close the public
hearing?
MEMBER SILVERBERG: Motion.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: That's Joel.
ALTERNATE MEMBER RAGUSA: Second.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Gina seconds.
All in favor?
(All members vote aye.)
All right.
MR. GRAY: Now will somebody entertain a motion to approve the preliminary subdivision subject to zoning compliance and/or the required variances?

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Anybody want to make that motion?

MEMBER SILVERBERG: I make the motion.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Joel Silverberg makes the motion. Do I have a second?

ALTERNATE MEMBER KARALIS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Constantine Karalis seconds.

All in favor? Gina?
ALTERNATE MEMBER RAGUSA: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Constantine?

ALTERNATE MEMBER KARALIS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Joel?

MEMBER SILVERBERG: Aye. CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Judy? MEMBER STEINMAN: Yes. CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Who else?

ALTERNATE MEMBER RAGUSA: David. CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: No, David doesn't vote. Wait a minute, I should say I'm appointing David as a voting member today. He'll be the fifth member of the Board. No. That way Judy is the fifth, so forget what I said. We have five. I forgot that. So Judy voted, so it's just up to me. My vote doesn't matter here, but I vote aye. MS. SILDORF: Thank you. CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Doesn't matter because you had a majority.

MS. SILDORF: Got it. I'm good with math. CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Okay. Very good. Is there anything else anyone else wants to bring up before we have a motion to close, or to adjourn, rather?

MS. SILDORF: How do we get the ZBA meeting scheduled?

CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: How?
MS. SILDORF: Through Jackie or Bunny?
CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Yes.

MS. SILDORF: We'll do that. Thank you. MR. LEVINE: Can you guys share with us electronically the drawings and the notes? CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: They were sent to you. MR. LEVINE: Not to us. MS. SILDORF: The only one we don't have is the one that Constantine did. ALTERNATE MEMBER RAGUSA: That was emailed Friday.

MS. SILDORF: If not, I'll get it. Not a problem. CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: I asked her to. She said she would.

MS. SILDORF: Thank you. I'll take care of it. Don't worry about it. CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Okay. Do I have a motion to adjourn? MEMBER SILVERBERG: I make a motion. CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Joel makes the motion. ALTERNATE MEMBER RAGUSA: Second. CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: Gina seconds. Okay. All in favor? (All members vote aye.) Thank you very much. (Time Noted: 11:30 a.m.)

```
    I, D. Leigh Chapman, a Notary Public in and
for the State of New York, do hereby certify:
    THAT the forgoing is a true and accurate
transcript of my stenographic notes.
    IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
hand this 13th day of October, 2022.
```

D. LEIGH CHAPMAN

|  | accepted [1] 13/10 | before [4] 17/12 17/21 17/22 20/19 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALTERNATE MEMBER KARALIS: [5] | accessory $17 / 3$ [6] $12 / 1113 / 316 / 216 / 1116 / 12$ | being [2] 5/17 16/3 <br> believe [3] 13/1 14/8 15/9 |
| 8/7 8/11 8/24 19/18 19/24 | accurate [1] 22/6 | below [2] 7/16 7/22 |
| ALTERNATE MEMBER LIEBER: [2] 9/12 9/17 | acquired [1] 5/20 | better [2] 11/17 14/21 |
| ALTERNATE MEMBER RAGUSA: [8] | actually [1] 8/11 | bigger [1] 13/3 |
| 3/7 11/8 11/12 19/4 19/22 20/5 21/8 21/20 | add [2] 5/22 $8 / 10$ | BOARD [19] 1/4 6/12 6/13 6/13 6/19 8 |
| BUILDING INSPECTOR MANDARINO: | adjacent [1] 5/16 <br> adjourn [2] 20/20 21/17 | $\begin{aligned} & 9 / 8 \quad 10 / 6 \quad 11 / 13 \quad 12 / 19 \quad 12 / 20 \quad 12 / 23 \quad 14 / 9 \quad 14 / 1 \\ & 15 / 6 \quad 17 / 7 \quad 18 / 12 \quad 20 / 9 \end{aligned}$ |
| [5] 13/14 15/23 16/8 16/20 17/1 | After [1] 14/16 | both [5] 7/16 7/17 13/17 14/5 14/20 |
| CHAIRMAN SPERANZA: [56] $7 / 107 / 15$ | again [4] 5/23 6/3 16/4 18/11 | bottom [2] 8/12 10/25 |
| MEMBER SILVERBERG: [12] 7/10 7/15 | agenda [1] 3/24 | bought [1] 5/24 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 217 / 2412 / 2517 / 2218 / 518 / 919 / 219 / 15 \\ & 20 / 121 / 18 \end{aligned}$ | ago [2] $5 / 25 / 20$ | break [1] 8/5 |
| MEMBER STEINMAN: [18] 4/19 4/21 8/13 | agree [1] 14/23 | Breeze [3] 2/6 4/1 17/18 |
| 10/7 10/23 12/8 12/16 13/7 13/13 15/14 15/20 | ahead [1] 17/12 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { bring [1] } & 20 / 19 \\ \text { buffer [1] } & 6 / 6\end{array}$ |
| 16/6 16/15 16/18 16/23 17/5 17/15 20/3 | all [20] 4/13 4/17 | buffer [1] 6/6 building [16] 1/22 3/3 5/22 6/22 8/17 9/24 |
| MR. GRAY: [19] 3/1 3/8 3/19 9/20 11/23 |  |  |
| 11/25 12/9 12/14 12/18 13/5 13/9 13/16 13/20 | allow [1] 17/11 | 15/15 16/14 |
| 14/4 14/21 15/12 18/17 18/23 19/9 | allowable [1] 13/20 | buildings [1] 7/11 |
| MR. LEVINE: [10] 4/3 7/17 17/10 17/13 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { allowed [3] } & 9 / 211 / 1611 / 17\end{array}$ | built [1] 5/17 |
| MS. SILDORF: [17] 4/11 4/20 4/22 7/19 | already [1] 16/3 | Bunny [2] 6/15 20/24 |
| 7/22 7/25 8/6 8/16 8/21 20/13 20/16 20/21 | Also [2] 8/7 18/8 | buy [1] 4/24 |
| 20/24 21/1 21/6 21/10 21/14 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ALTERNATE [3] } 1 / 16 \text { 1/17 1/s } \\ & \text { amenable [1] } 14 / 11 \end{aligned}$ | C |
| MS. STEINMAN: [3] 10/17 10/20 12/13 | another [1] 9/3 | calculated [1] 13/21 |
| - | answer [1] 7/19 | call [3] 3/1 3/18 3/21 |
| -------------------------------------------x[-- [3] $1 / 2$ | Anybody [1] 19/13 | 11/17 11/19 11/23 14/17 15/18 17/10 18/17 |
| 1/7 1/11 | anyone [3] 9/10 18/12 20/18 | 21/2 |
| 1 | anything [3] 5/21 6/10 20/18 | can't [2] 4/1 8/13 |
| 1,022 [1] 13/18 | apologize [1] $8 / 21$ apparent [1] 9/6 | CERTIFICATION [1] 22/2 |
| 11770 [1] $2 / 6$ | appearing [1] 1/19 | certify [1] 22/5 |
| 11:00 [1] 1/8 |  | CHAIRMAN [1] 1/14 |
| 11:30 [1] 21/25 | applicant's [2] 6/11 12/21 | change [3] 5/9 5/2 $6 / 2$ |
| 13th [1] 22/9 | applicants [1] 10/1 | changes [1] 10/12 |
| 1600 [1] 13/20 |  | Chapman [3] 1/25 22/4 22/12 |
| 161-164 [1] $1 / 9$ $\mathbf{1 6 4}[1]^{1 / 9}$ | applied [1] 10/2 | chart [1] 9/14 |
| 1912 [1] 5/19 | appointing [1] 20/7 | check [1] 9/1 |
| 2 | approval [3] 14/10 14/12 15/7 | circles [1] 10/15 |
| 2022 [2] 1/8 22/9 | approve [3] 12/1 18/18 19/10 | clear [2] 9/13 9/24 |
| 24 feet [1] 9/3 | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{are}[8] \\ 16 / 21 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 3 | area [4] 13/17 13/21 13/22 13/23 | color [2] 6/21 10/25 |
| $304[1] 1 / 5$ | articulates [1] 11/5 <br> as [20] 4/6 5/21 6/1 6/5 6/21 8/10 10/3 11/1 | come [3] 6/15 12/20 14/9 completion [1] 17/20 |
| 32 [2] 4/23 5/20 | 12/1 12/8 12/18 14/24 15/15 15/18 16/2 16/2 | compliance [5] 8/25 12/20 14/12 18/19 19/11 |
| 4 | 16/3 16/9 16/9 20/8 | compliant [2] 13/17 13/23 |
| 4.1 [1] 7/13 | $\text { ask [5] } 7 / 911 / 1915 / 415 / 1817 / 10$ | comply [1] 13/22 condition [1] 13/10 |
| 4.1 feet [1] $14 / 3$ | asked [3] 15/20 16/17 21/12 | conditioned [1] 14/12 |
| 40 [1] 13/3 | asking [3] 6/4 17/14 17/18 | cons [2] 11/13 11/15 |
| 7 | assume [2] 7/15 7/21 | CONSTANTINE [7] 1/17 3/5 8/15 10/9 19/19 |
| 76 [1] 4/3 | astronomical [2] $8 / 18 / 4$ | context [2] 6/8 16/21 |
| 765 [3] 4/25 5/3 5/12 | attach [1] 6/5 | continue [1] 12/17 |
| 765-767 [1] 2/6 | attached [2] 5/7 5/8 | correct [2] 8/9 15/9 |
| 766 [3] 4/1 5/3 5/12 | ATTORNEY [2] 1/23 14/25 | correctly [1] 15/11 |
| 767 [3] $2 / 64 / 15 / 4$ | aye [7] 19/7 $19 / 18$ 19/22 19/24 20/1 20/12 | could [1] 18/21 |
| 768 [3] 4/16 4/22 17/17 | 21/23 | Counsel [2] 3/3 3/17 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 768-769[1] \\ & 769[3] \\ & 7 / 5 \\ & 4 / 23 \\ & 14 / 2 \end{aligned}\right.$ | B | county [1] $15 / 8$ |
| 77 [1] 4/3 | back [1] 4/25 | Court [3] 1/25 3/13 3/14 |
| 78 [1] $4 / 3$ | basically [2] 16/9 16/21 | $\text { creates [1] } 16 / 10$ |
| 79 [1] $4 / 3$ | bay [1] 14/3 |  |
| 8 | be [19] 3/8 5/7 5/11 5/13 5/14 5/15 8/4 8/23 | D |
| 80 [1] 6/1 | 16/5 20/8 | data [1] 14/2 <br> DAVID [5] $1 / 21$ 3/2 20/5 20/6 20/7 |
| A | BEACH [3] 1/1 1/10 2/6 | day [2] 8/23 22/9 |
|  | because [4] 3/22 12/3 13/2 20/14 <br> become [2] 7/5 9/6 <br> becomes [1] 9/3 <br> been [5] 4/17 4/24 5/19 5/25 6/1 | decision [1] 11/18 <br> deck [1] 12/6 <br> deficient [1] 9/4 <br> delineates [1] 6/20 |



|  | ```18/11 18/20 18/24 20/17 21/16 21/21 one [25] one-story [1] 5/3 only [10] \(3 / 10\) 5/11 \(11 / 2\) 11/3 \(11 / 4\) 12/3 \(12 / 6\) 12/12 13/18 21/6 open [1] 10/14 opinion [1] \(14 / 8\) order [2] 3/18 8/25 other [7] 5/22 7/14 10/5 16/22 17/6 17/7 18/11 others [1] 10/3 otherwise [1] 8/23 our [5] 5/6 5/9 5/16 5/18 6/5 out [4] 6/22 10/23 15/10 17/12 outdoor [1] 5/23 over [1] 4/7 Overall [1] 18/10 oversized [1] 17/3 overview [1] 6/7 owned [2] 4/16 4/22 owner [1] 11/1``` | ```read [8] 4/2 6/24 7/8 10/19 11/6 16/5 18/21 18/23 reading [1] 16/5 real [2] 8/5 10/8 rear [5] 13/9 13/11 16/1 16/1 17/3 reason [1] 10/20 recommend [1] 14/9 recommendation [1] 15/2 record [1] 3/22 recordation [1] 15/10 refer [2] 14/11 14/15 Referring [1] 3/14 remediated [1] 5/13 renovated [1] 5/14 Reporter [2] 1/25 3/15 require [1] 12/23 required [6] 12/5 12/7 14/17 18/10 18/10 19/11 requirement [2] 13/15 13/16 requires [1] 12/11 requiring [1] \(15 / 25\) residence [1] \(8 / 3\) response [2] 17/8 18/13 restriction [1] 17/14 returned [2] 7/3 7/4 review [1] 10/1 reviewing [1] \(10 / 3\) right [14] 3/9 5/17 8/19 9/15 11/13 12/2 \(12 / 17\) 12/18 13/24 14/6 16/8 17/1 17/9 19/8 Road [1] \(1 / 9\) roll [1] \(3 / 21\) room [1] 3/4 RULON [1] \(1 / 18\) run [1] 10/9``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | ```package [2] 6/13 6/18 packet [1] 16/18 parts [1] 16/22 percent [1] 13/12 permission [1] 3/17 permit [3] 1/5 5/22 9/24 Phillips [2] 5/1 5/24 phone [4] 6/23 11/14 11/22 15/17 pick [1] 6/15 plan [9] 6/18 6/25 7/6 9/18 9/19 10/1 10/15 10/16 13/25 PLANNING [5] 1/4 6/19 9/4 10/5 15/6 Please [1] 3/19 Plot [2] 13/18 13/21 point [2] 10/16 15/8 pointed [1] 6/22 precipitated [1] 7/1 preexisting [1] 12/10 preliminary [2] 18/18 19/10 preposes [1] 11/1 present [2] 3/17 6/16 presentation [2] 4/86/11 Presumably [1] 9/7 pretty [1] 6/20 prevent [1] 5/16 primary [2] \(8 / 28 / 5\) printed [1] 10/23 probably [1] 11/17 problem [1] 21/11 procedurally [1] 18/15 proceed [1] 11/18 process [1] 14/6 processed [1] 15/8 produce [1] 14/18 properties [5] 3/25 7/5 13/17 14/5 14/20 property [6] 4/24 5/11 6/2 6/5 11/1 13/10 propose [1] 12/10 proposed [2] 12/2 12/18 proposing [1] 10/12 pros [2] 11/13 11/14 provide [1] 17/19 public [4] 18/15 18/17 18/25 22/4 purchase [1] 5/2``` |  |
| my [7] $5 / 197 / 25$ <br> myself [2] $3 / 6$ |  | said [6] 8/3 10/7 14/7 16/7 20/10 21/12 |
|  |  | ```said [6] 8/3 10/7 14/7 16/7 20/10 21/12 same [1] 5/21 Sandy [1] 7/18 say [4] 6/9 7/3 8/24 20/7 saying [1] 11/25 says [2] 10/25 11/7 scheduled [1] 20/22 second [4] 11/23 19/4 19/17 21/20 seconds [3] 19/5 19/20 21/21 see [4] 5/4 6/18 11/2 12/8 self [3] 7/7 10/8 \(10 / 11\) self-explanatory [3] 7/7 10/8 10/11 sell [2] 5/11 6/6 sent [2] 10/24 21/4 separate [2] 13/1 14/22 set [1] 22/8 setback [11] \(12 / 3\) 12/4 12/6 12/12 13/9 13/11 16/10 16/11 16/24 17/2 17/4 setbacks [2] 15/25 16/2 seven [3] 4/4 10/10 12/4 seven-foot [1] 12/4 Seventy [1] \(4 / 3\) Seventy-five [1] \(4 / 3\) share [1] 21/2 she [2] \(21 / 12\) 21/13 shed [1] \(5 / 23\) should [3] 7/3 9/8 20/7 show [2] 7/14 10/11 shower [1] 5/23 shown [1] 7/6 shows [2] 6/25 7/13 side [3] 12/3 16/1 16/23 Silsdorf [3] 2/5 3/12 4/13 SILVERBERG [3] 1/15 3/6 19/16 simple [1] 16/9 since [1] 5/19 site [1] \(10 / 1\) six [4] 5/7 \(13 / 7 \quad 13 / 8 \quad 13 / 13\) Sixty [1] 4/4 Sixty-eight [1] 4/4``` |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  | ramp [1] rather [1] $20 / 20$ |  |



