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CHAIRMAN BURRIS: It is 10:03, it is 11:03 on August the 5th, 2023, and this a meeting of the Ocean Beach Zoning Board of Appeals. I see that we have a quorum present. Please say "present" when I call your name. Jeremy Conway? MEMBER CONWAY: Present. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Myself, Joel Burris, I am Chairman of the committee. Kevin Conway? MEMBER LOWRY: Kevin Lowry. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Kevin Lowry. Kevin Conway was an old member, and I apologize, Kevin, Deputy Chairman. And Craig Sherman, our newest member. MEMBER SHERMAN: Present. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Constantine Karalis? MEMBER KARALIS: Present. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Okay. We do have a quorum present, and we have a Zoom call tied in right now. Counsel to the Board and the Village, I guess, Ken Gray. Ken, can you hear us? MR. GRAY: Yes, I can. I'm present. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Wonderful. Let me first call the meeting to order, and let everybody know that before the meeting, all of the Board members
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present went to the site in question on Cottage Walk, 278 Cottage Walk for a site inspection. There was no deliberation at the site inspection, rather just an inspection of the site and the situation which we're addressing today. Today's meeting addresses Variance Application Number P, I'm sorry, BP2023-052, which is a property owned by Mitchell and Risa Gosset located at 278-279 Cottage Walk, Ocean Beach, New York.

The applicant on the hearing is Michael Murtha, who I believe was their contractor and the original builder of the house. The application was for a bump out on the rear deck that's approximately three feet in width and approximately seven feet in length, which extrudes into the eight-foot required property setback line under the Village of Ocean Beach Code. And the applicants submitted a building permit for this enlargement of the deck area, which was the denied by the building inspector by a denial letter dated May 11, 2023. And the denial letter basically reads that the bump out goes into the required setback area. There's an eight-foot setback area, and the bump out is
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three feet, which meets a five-foot setback area to the property line.

There was a couple of notices received from the public. Actually, one letter that, I think, was sent twice from somebody who I see is here. So that, eventually, will go -- well, it is in the record now. It's a letter sent, an email sent by Louis Shore dated July 31st, 2023, sent to the Village of Ocean Beach Office and then there's a subsequent email from Louis Shore to the Village of Ocean Beach Office dated August 3rd, 2023. It's rather lengthy and rather than reading it, when the meeting is open to the public, Louis may elect to make statements. I don't know, but it is in the record.

We also have a letter from the United States Department of the Interior, signed 7/11/23, in which they basically say that the bump out exceeds their regulations and that it's nonconforming and that they do not waive their right of condemnation. It's their objection to the bump out, but the United States Department of Interior sends a similar letter with every building permit ever issued in Ocean Beach, and

VAP No. BP2023-052
what they're saying is they have the right to condemn if it's built. Which, frankly, I think by law they have that right anyway, but it puts them on record.

There's no other correspondence that I have in the file. I see there are members of the public here today, and I thank you for coming.

I would ask the applicant, first, a question. Was notice delivered to each of the homeowners in all of the --

MR. GOSSET: Yes. That's on the application as well.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: On the application. It doesn't list the names and addresses of the homeowners, but if it's been delivered to them, that's fine.

MR. GRAY: Mr. Burris, may I chime in for a second?

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Yes. That's Counsel. MR. GRAY: Ken Gray here. I think it's important to note that the work that was performed was done prior to the building application being submitted and prior to the denial letter. The work has already been completed. I think that just needs to be made part of the record.

VAP No. BP2023-052

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you for the clarification. The Board is aware of that. It is clear from the application, and I very much appreciate you noting that on the record.

MR. GRAY: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I was remiss in not doing that, and if I'm remiss in anything else, please chime in. That's why you're Counsel.

First, I'd like to structure this meeting so that the applicant presents what they're proposing, and I say "proposing", as Counsel pointed out, what they have built because it's different than the proposal and why they think the variance should be granted for this. Then I will open it to the public's comments and along the way, the Board, I'm sure, will have questions to interject to the applicant, the homeowner, and perhaps the public.

So if the applicant or the homeowner would like to make the presentation as to what their proposing to legalize.

MR. MURTHA: Sure. Absolutely.
Can you hear me? Is that good?
Good morning, Mr. Chairman and Board members.
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CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I'm not sure it's on. Raise up the microphone so everyone can hear.

MR. MURTHA: Good morning. Thank you for taking the time for me today, and thank you for the opportunity to present the variance application on behalf of Risa and Mitchell Gosset who are here in attendance today.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Please introduce yourself. MR. MURTHA: I'm Michael Murtha. Sorry about that. I represent them as builder, contractor, and friend.

We're seeking your approval to retain a three-foot by seven-foot deck addition with a rear yard setback of five feet instead of the required eight feet.

I do acknowledge that this is a selfinflicted wound or situation. At the time there was such a de minimis add on and the fact that it keeps in character with the surrounding properties and neighborhood and we didn't feel it was a big deal, but $I$ was wrong with that one and take responsibility for that. In 2022, we removed a dilapidated house and designed a new home. Although we didn't have to design a home that was, we designed a home that
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was consistent with traditional Ocean Beach homes by design. We did that because we wanted to fit into the character of the neighborhood although we didn't have to.

The new house was constructed as a traditional Ocean Beach home. Unfortunately, the rear deck/pool area wasn't designed with enough location for a grill. I didn't have the forethought to find a spot for the grill, and that's why we're here today.

We're asking for relief based on safety and quality of life reasons. Last summer, a friend of mine was grilling and his daughter's arm got burned on the gill because his deck is really very small, as you guys have seen it, and the pool is there and there's egress from the stairs coming down from the second floor. So the logical solution, what we thought, was to do a small bump out to contain the grill in a safe area and we thought that was the best solution.

We even thought about putting the grill on the ground below, but there's no egress from the rear to get down to the grass level, so that was not really an option.

This is a 21-foot de minimis addition in my
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view. It does not change the character of this neighborhood in any way, shape, or form. In fact, it's virtually unseen from anywhere except if you go on the deck itself.

MEMBER LOWRY: Mike, excuse me. MR. MURTHA: Yes?

MEMBER LOWRY: For clarification, it's not 21-foot, it's 20 square feet.

MR. MURTHA: Yes, thank you. It's
21-square-foot addition. Like the Chairman said, it's three-foot by seven-foot, and I can demonstrate that.
(Mr. Murtha puts down paper on the floor to represent the size of rear deck extension.)

The paper on the floor, essentially, represents the ask today. It's pretty small.

MR. GRAY: Can you cook me a hot dog?
MR. MURTHA: Maybe. It all depends on the verdict today.

This application is extremely minor in change of community or house and has no adverse affects esthetically or safety wise and we are still nine feet away from the property line although four of that feet is the delivery lane. We know that. So it's nine feet from the
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property line, but, yes, five feet from the buildable property line.

Chairman mentioned about the Department of the Interior. I think it's just a moot point. I don't want to address it. It's a moot point.

I would like to introduce two decks in the community that have relief on rear yard extensions. The first one is one I actually built myself. I got relief from, I know Kevin, and Joel, you were on the Board about seven years ago, Tony and John Sullivan at 957 Surfview Walk. We were allowed to leave a two-foot rear yard instead of the required eight-foot on that deck.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Was that a situation in which the deck was also already built and then the hearing to us after it was constructed? MR. MURTHA: Uh, yes, uh, no. It was replacement and enlarging of an existing deck. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: It was brought to us after it was constructed? MR. MURTHA: No, before. That was brought before it was constructed. That's in the record. I remember some of the comments you addressed to me saying it was very thorough. I don't know why,
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but I do remember it.
The second one is 358 Denhoff Walk. On
May, 2023 it was granted Certificate of Occupancy and it's for a nonconforming rear deck. This deck is approximately 38 feet long and the rear property line is approximately two feet instead of the required eight-foot setback. This is approximately 228 square feet, making it more than ten times the relief that I'm asking for today. More than ten times.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Was that a new deck? Was that a deck that existed?

MR. MURTHA: I don't, I think it existed. I believe it was an existing deck and the building inspector, as I said, about five months ago, less, granted a certificate and that house happens to be right behind Mr. and Mrs. Gosset's house, the adjoining house and that was granted.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: And the deck was built before the zoning law was changed or it was preexisting deck. Very different than this situation.

MR. MURTHA: Is it?
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: The Board will deliberate that.
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MR. MURTHA: I don't believe we are asking for any major relief that hasn't already been granted before by the Village. As a matter of fact, what we're asking for is far less than the previous grants. For the reasons stated above, I respectfully request that you grant this application and thank you. I can answer any questions.

MEMBER CONWAY: Could you just repeat the address on the Surfview Walk property that you quoted?

MR. MURTHA: Surfview Walk is 957 Surfview. MEMBER LOWRY: What was the other one? MR. MURTHA: The other one is 358 and I think 359 Denhoff.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: The other one didn't come before the Zoning Board and we issued the Surfview Walk one -- you're saying we approved a setback? What were the extenuating circumstances in that situation since you were involved in it? Please tell us.

MR. MURTHA: I think they had small children and it may have been, that's all I remember. The small children thing and really no other place where you could play without getting ticks and all
that good stuff. So I don't remember.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: You're saying we approved a new deck because there were small children and they wanted an enlarged deck for the children to play on? I don't recall that.

MR. MURTHA: Yeah. It may have been an existing deck, preexisting deck.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Preexisting, that's what I recall. Thank you. MR. MURTHA: Okay. Any questions? CHAIRMAN BURRIS: No. I don't have any. Does anyone else on the Board have any? MEMBER LOWRY: Is there any place else on that deck where a barbecue could reasonably go? MR. MURTHA: Not reasonably go, no. Like I said, somebody already got burned once. We did explore, you know, how to get down to the ground level. You saw the house. It really makes no sense to do that, but no.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Every other deck in Ocean Beach that I'm aware of has a barbecue without it being in an enclosure, which I question for safety. In fact, not only do you have it in an enclosure, but even the first three or four inches in front have kind of lips on it to seal it back
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there. So it really can't even be wheeled out very quickly if there were a fire. I don't know, you must have assembled it in the spot -MR. MURTHA: It slips right in there. Very simple.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: But there definitely are lips around it. And it seemed to me, and I was very conscious of the deck and the space on the deck, but there's a pool with a walk on the right side. The left side, there's substantial area to put a barbecue, and, yeah, it may interfere with having a lounge chair. Maybe you'll have one less lounge chair, but the area where the table is, you could move the table closer to the house. It's not unreasonable to use alternative spaces without having to obtain a variance to put a barbecue. Am I wrong on that? If I am, please tell me.

MR. MURTHA: It's a very limited space there. I don't want to say you're wrong on that, but it's a very limited space. We tried it. It just was always in the way.

MEMBER LOWRY: I think safety concerns could be made on both sides of this issue. I took a photograph of it, and it's only the table on this
side. On the north side of the deck, there's a table that seats eight people, which is certainly not an unreasonable number of people to be seated in a beach house where we have enormous numbers of guests coming through all the time. And given that limited space, there are safety issues to be addressed with people coming too close to the barbecue, possibly getting burnt. Certainly, on the side where the pool is, you can't put it. You fall into the pool when you try to cook. There's no spot there.

I just wanted, my observation tells me that, yeah, there might be a safety issue with it being tucked away, but if it caught fire, I don't think you'd want to pull it out anyway. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: You have 28 feet from the pool. No, not 28 feet. Less the, what? Twenty feet from the side of the house. About 20, assuming there's an eight-foot setback. You know, it's a magnificent pool, a magnificat house. You did a great job on it. When you constructed the house, you did it to code. You have an eight-foot setback that you intentionally, I'm sure, was designed by your architect to make sure it complied with code. So when you constructed the
house, you knew what the code was with the eight-foot setback and you did a great job in positioning everything there and making a beautiful pool, while leaving a large area for entertaining on the left. I assume you could have placed that pool in the middle of the deck. I'm sure a lot of thought went into that. Pushing it to right side to enlarge the seating area, to enlarge the dining area, to enlarge the barbecuing area. So, yes, it's nice to have a bump out. Everybody would like to be in their setbacks, but it's something that you added that I don't view as a necessity. Unless you can tell me that there was no another place.

MEMBER LOWRY: The homeowner wants to come up and speak.

MR. GOSSET: Yeah, I appreciate it. Mr. Chairman and Board, I'm Mitch Gosset, the homeowner.

Before he built the bump out, I tried the barbecue in two spots on the deck. The first spot was adjacent to the shower, to the outdoor shower. And I even went through the expense, if you look at the original plan, I made the shower smaller from when I bought the house and I paid
money to Mike to make the shower not come out as far towards the backyard in hopes of putting the barbecue there. I put it there, and I felt hat it was too close to the table. When you have eight people at the table, sometimes there's other people kind of congregating around the table on a deck. It seemed to me like that was not a spot when we're doing a barbecue that would be safe to have people around that table, around that area. Then I moved it back to the far left corner, towards the bay side. You know, to that side, in that corner and the same thing. There wasn't enough space between the table and the chairs. If someone gets up while we're barbecuing, I didn't feel it was safe. So now I spent more money to do the bump out, but I originally tried to solve the problem by shortening the shower. If you look at the original plan to what's there now, I spent money to do that to try to accommodate the barbecue on the deck. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I appreciate you saying that. As, I guess, a 35-year resident in Ocean Beach, I recall many, many years where we didn't
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have barbecues. They were illegal because of the fire issue. Probably was great for the restaurants. It drove all of us crazy. You still can't have charcoal barbecues. When you have a barbecue, $I$ believe it cannot be up against a combustible wall. I know I have around my barbecue this insulation, and it's not up against a wall. You've enclosed the barbecue in a wood, combustible wall with power lines not far away. So, frankly, I expected you to come here and say to us, you know what? I understand these issues. I'll put the barbecue someplace else. Let me keep the bump out.

That's not what you're saying. What you're saying to me is, that's the only place I can put a barbecue. There are no alternatives, and I don't agree with that. MR. GOSSET: Okay. I understand. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Correct me if I'm wrong. MR. GOSSET: I respectfully just disagree on the best place for the barbecue. I'm not opposed to potentially moving it, but when $I$ did it originally, I thought that that was the best spot. Would it help if I put some type of fireproofing on the back wall or side walls of the bump out? I
don't know. I'm willing to work with the town and my neighbors to make it safe and, you know, where everyone's okay with it. So if you have a solution, I'm willing to accept that solution and try to work with it.

MEMBER LOWRY: I think we would take that under consideration when we deliberate.

MR. GOSSET: I appreciate it.
MEMBER SHERMAN: Mitch, you said that you tried the barbecue in a lot of different places. Is this the same barbecue (showing photograph of a barbecue) you tried in a lot of different places before the bump out?

MR. GOSSET: Yes. It was the barbecue that was included with the purchase of the house, yes.

MEMBER SHERMAN: I would recommend, as a
homeowner who has a similar deck, a smaller barbecue, for starters. That will definitely give you the ability to move it somewhere else. That barbecue is massive for the size of your deck.

MR. GOSSET: Okay.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Constantine, do you have anything?

MEMBER KARALIS: Yes. It seems to me that you have an area of 20 feet by 25 feet of deck
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before you put anything in it. I would think that then, forgetting about furniture and things like that, there would be tables, chairs, barbecues, and all this stuff. That dimension excludes the area taken by the pool.

So you have an area 20 by 20 feet, 25 feet. I would think that the solution there would be find a place to put everything, instead of building without a permit into a prohibited area.

So I would try to solve the problem with the area that I have. Every house in this town is restricted in terms of expansion and space. So try to solve the problem with the 20 -foot by 25-foot area that you have instead of just going into the setback.

And that would have been the case before you built this thing that now you want to legitimize, which I say I have no idea why that would stand.

MR. GOSSET: Yeah, I thought looking at the alternatives, having the barbecue away from the seating space was the best option.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I don't think anybody would disagree. The house is beautiful. The best
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option is obviously to put it as far away as possible. We are unfortunately, or fortunately, in the situation of balancing equities and balancing the code, and I guess what I really want to say is this is uncomfortable for the Board and that's okay because that's our job. But whatever the outcome of the decision and whatever the community says, please, we're a community. We must all still work cohesively and be together. So the fact that I think I would prefer, I know I would prefer that it not be there, and I'm hearing similar things from the Board. When this is all over, we are not enemies either way that it goes. And I say this to you, and I say this to the neighbors who sent letters, one neighbor. That we want to do what's right for the community and maintain the integrity of the code in the Village.

I don't know if any other Board members have anything they want to add or something you want to add before $I$ open it up to the community to speak.

MR. GOSSET: Just that, if there's any recommendations, like I said, putting some type of fireproofing or anything you can think of that
would make it more safe and more palatable for the Board and community, I'm all for it.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: If the Board were to approve this, that would be a mandatory condition that we wouldn't set. We would have to have you get permission from the fire department as to what's necessary on that. We're not fire experts. We're just able to read the code that says not up against combustible areas, et cetera. So, yes, if it's permissive to stay, that would be the requisite.

MR. GOSSET: Just to ask you, like, what's the norm in Ocean Beach? If you have a deck, how far way from the deck should you place a barbecue? Most people don't put it the middle of their deck. They're putting it somewhere --

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: That's a very interesting question because for years, there was an inspector and when you got a permit, the inspector would come and look at the barbecue and would have to certify where it is. I know that in my situation, we had to move it away from certain areas and we had to have a hose within a certain number of feet of it and all sorts of other things to keep it safe. I know we had to have fireproof mats.

MEMBER SHERMAN: I have the answer. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Okay. You have the answer? Great.

MEMBER SHERMAN: You need three feet in all directions, rear, sides -MR. MURTHA: Of combustible material. MEMBER SHERMAN: Yeah, you're near combustible material. MR. MURTHA: So if we eliminate the combustible piece, we can put it as close as we want to. That would be, as the Chairman said, we'd certainly do that with metal or some kind of concrete material and enclose the insides and take that off the issue. But $I$ don't think that's a big issue for you guys.

MEMBER SHERMAN: It also can't be ten feet from an inhabitable structure. I think really the issue is safety is obviously paramount to the community, but it's a secondary issue. It's the nonconformity of the structure.

MEMBER LOWRY: Craig, can you tell us the source of that?

MEMBER SHERMAN: It's from the Village of Ocean Beach Barbecue Permit Application.

MEMBER LOWRY: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Anybody on the Board have any other questions for the applicant?
(No verbal response given.)
If the applicant doesn't have any
additional statements, and we'll give you a chance to come back, I want to open the hearing up to community comments.

The first is, frankly, in lieu of having to read three pages of emails, which are in the record, I see neighbor Louis Shore here, who sent fairly detailed email and concerns that he had and I think that's because in the community, he's probably the person affected the most because it's within a couple of feet of his property lines. So, Louis, do you want to say anything?

MR. SHORE: Yes, please.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Is it okay if I don't read the emails into record, please? But they are in the file.

MR. SHORE: Thank you. First, let me thank you all for taking time on a Saturday morning. MEMBER SHERMAN: If you could step closer. MR. SHORE: Is that better? I'll try to speak louder.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I want to make sure the applicant can hear you. (Lots of outside noise interfering with the audio because the windows are open.) MR. SHORE: First, $I$ just want to give a little bit of background. My wife's family has been at --

MEMBER LOWRY: Could you introduce yourself and give your address?

MR. SHORE: I'm Louis Shore, part of the 358 Denhoff family. My wife, Denise, is sitting over here. Our sons, Joshua and Alec, have been here their whole life. My wife's family has been at the 358 Denhoff Walk property for about 75 plus years. So we're very familiar with the homes that existed previously with Marty Bales (phonetic), who was the youth group counselor to my wife's oldest brother Peter. Just to give you some idea. So I get the sense that the Village agrees with my concerns about safety, which is one of the primary reasons that $I$ took the trouble to enter so much information into the record. So I don't want to repeat it and take more time from the Board, but I do want to add that in addition to the barbecue and its propane tank, that a
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spare tank may be in that region as well and/or maybe the previous tank that was running low. So we're very concerned that our family's safety and the community's safety is at risk. Where the power lines are only a few feet away from this bump out extension, which invades into our home.

Mr. Murtha gave the impression that nobody could see this, but the 278 Cottage Walk home is raised higher than ours. This bump out is in our face 24/7. My wife keeps the blinds in the master bedroom that's facing the home drawn, and when we're out on the deck, which is where we spend most of our time together, all we see is this bump out and we think of the potential liability.

Now I have two other things just to enter into the record because Mr. Murtha created the impression that he could reference our home at 358 Denhoff as a rationale for why the Board should approve this variance.

So in 2006, June of 2006 our contractor, Patrick Heron (phonetic), submitted to Ted Minsky (phonetic), the Building Inspector, the Code Enforcement Officer for Ocean Beach, a
survey and the other information that was requested and we got a clean certificate of completion, which I have provided to the Board for that home.

Subsequently, the building department inspector issued on May 1st a Certificate of Occupancy which we didn't realize we even had it. But in this situation where some potential noncompliance was brought up, I felt it would be good to have that in the file. Now, Mr. Murtha seems to feel it was his bad. You know, he didn't ask for a building permit. But the record shows that on April 27th, when the building inspector went to visit this site after receiving an email from me with a picture of the ongoing construction, he wrote:
"Louis, please go into the Village Office tomorrow and fill out a complaint. If my memory serves me correctly, that deck went to the setback line. So if the photo of the bump out you sent me is correct, then, yes, it is a violation of the Village of Ocean Beach that requires a permit, presumably a variance. What surprises me is that the builder should know better, and even more troubling is that he
thought he was going to do it under your radar. Sometimes people simply don't think. So you say you don't want to cause a problem for the new homeowners. That's what's going to happen. It's totally within your right, and the Village encourages reports of noncompliance. Again, I need to look at the survey again. Mike"

On May 5th, Mike went over and he documented in email that the setback was in fact in violation, and I won't take the Board's time to read it. This was more than some oversight because the construction started in April. It was formally made notice to the Village but construction continued.

So April 27th, there's a time stamped picture of the construction. May 1st, the building inspector goes over and says, yes, this is in violation of code. And then on May 11th, the Village denies the building permit. But on May 18th, this is completed. The bump out extension is completed as documented by a time stamped, date stamped photograph, digital image that I submitted to the Village. So there's layers of infractions.

So I'm here today to basically request that
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the Board focus on safety; that's my first concern.

Maintaining the rule of law. The precedent that would be set. If this variance application were to be approved, the owners of 278 Cottage Walk might elect in the future to expand their entire east-facing deck relying in part on the hypothetical approval of the current variance application. Further, the bump out approval could serve as the Village wide rationale for many homeowners to request equal application of the law in modifying setback restrictions and given how close the houses are now, that could have all kinds of negative ramifications.

So I also want the Village to consider the potential liability to the Village if something horrendous happened. And if as a result of this bump out extension there was a fire and it caused physical harm to any of my family members or friends of my home or the property, the Village would be subject to potential liability. Why would the Village put itself in such a position?

So I'm here today to ask that the rule of law prevail as part of uniformly upholding and
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adjudicating the codes on a Village wide basis. And as part of rejecting, denying this application, I would ask the Board to insist, to mandate that the bump out extension be removed immediately and completely after the summer season when construction is allowed to commence and that starting with the Board's decision to deny the application, no barbecue or any propane tanks can be situated in that space.

If you have any questions, now would be the time, and thank you for your consideration.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you for the presentation.

The Board's position, and I'll have to discuss with Counsel, is that -- not the Board's position. The Board's authority is really to approve or reject a variance application. If we approve it, there are certain situations in which we could put conditions on it, I believe. I've heard what you said, but I think as far as the Board, if they decide that it should be removed, mandating how and when, et cetera, I don't think that's within our purview.

We'll have an executive session in light of the potential of litigation here on either side
with Counsel, and that's one of the issues that we will probably raise now.

So I appreciate your comments. Our jurisdictions is, in my opinion, limited to an acceptance or rejection of a variance application, but that will be clarified with Counsel.

MR. SHORE: Thank you. If the Board wants, I have an image taken from the website when the house was up for sale to pictorially demonstrate where the barbecue could be situated other than the bump out, just for the Board's consideration.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: You want to enter that into evidence? You say this was marketing material for the property?

MR. SHORE: Yes, it was up on the website.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Is this the same barbecue? This is a photograph. This isn't a drawing.

MR. SHORE: This is the one put up on the website.

MR. GRAY: Joel, you're gonna have to take possession of his cell phone then.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I don't want possession of his cell phone. How about if he sends a text of this to the Village Office. Will that do it?
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MR. GRAY: No. Just saying, he submitted his cell phone.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I'm not accepting the submission of his cell phone. Maybe you don't want to submit this into evidence, unless you submit the picture itself by --

MR. SHORE: Why don't I ask the owners if this picture (inaudible).

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: No, but it still needs to go into the record.

MEMBER SHERMAN: The owners say the barbecue came with the house (perusing the cell phone photograph), and that appears to be something they can verify.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: How 'bout withdrawing that picture?

MEMBER LOWRY: You know, you could describe to us using the compass coordinates; north, south, east, west, what you're proposing --

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: He's not proposing anything.

MEMBER LOWRY: What he's proposing is -MR. SHORE: I'm merely calling out this bump out extension, respectfully, be denied and in the interim before it's taken down, that no propane or
barbecue be allowed to remain in that area because it presents a clear and present danger to my family and my neighbors as well. Thank you.

MEMBER LOWRY: I have a question. I understand your concerns with safety, and you described it very specifically to the fact that the barbecue is three feet closer to your house than it's supposed to be. There's a propane tank, possibly a second propane tank, which we all do. There's no doubt.

My only quandary there is as to why it would be safer if it was three feet further away from your house?

MR. SHORE: The further away, the safer. It's just the simple physics of it. But I also want to emphasize that while safety is my primary concern, I'm also concerned about the negative precedent that an approval would generate because I could see all kinds of other applications coming to the Zoning Board that say, hey, you approved this bump out. I want a bigger deck too. It's not fair. Why can they get it at 278 Cottage Walk and not me?

I'm a believer in the rule of law. The Village Codes that have been derived and
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maintained over the years because the ruling decision makers at the time felt that those laws were important and they were made for the benefit of the community. I'm asking the Zoning Board to stand behind those rules. They could say to Mitch and Risa and others, if you don't like the laws, work to change them. But while the laws are on the books, it's the responsibility of homeowners, contractors, the businesses here to abide by those laws.

MEMBER LOWRY: Clearly.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you.
Is there anybody else in the community that would like to make a statement? Yes? Please state your name.

MS. BRAVIN: I'm Karen Bravin. I'm at 357 Denhoff, next to the Shores, and I was surprised to be invited to a variance meeting, post the build out already happening. For me, that's new. I've never received anything before about a variance. It was disingenuousness to being asked to show up here because it basically pits neighbor against neighbor and that is an uncomfortable position to be in as friends and neighbors to Louis, as a potential friend and neighbor to the

VAP No. BP2023-052

Gossets. And so, basically, Louis mentioned it and spoke to the idea of precedent.

So you know, I very much appreciate that Murtha designed it to fit into the character of the neighborhood, as he said. It does, but it doesn't fit into the character of neighborliness, and that is a really big issue for me going forward. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you.
MR. CHASE: My name is Tyler Chase. I'm at 276 Cottage Walk and have been there for, well, 58 years. It's been in my family since the '30s.

I would like to first welcome the Gossets to the neighborhood. We have not officially met yet, so I think their house is beautiful.

My issue, concerns are the issue of precedent, again, to back up what Louis said.

Last year, we wanted to enlarge our deck. We do not have a pool. We do not have a big house, but the deck does have a low spot that, or, I'm sorry, there's a concrete slab and we were hoping to extend the deck over the concrete slab so we didn't have flooding in the backyard. All reasons I thought were good.

What I did was I called the building
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inspector and I live in northern New York, so I couldn't come out here and work what him. But he said he would walk right down and take a look and he looked at what $I$ was proposing and said, "Well, you're cutting into setbacks. You can't do exactly what you're proposing."

I sent him a diagram and all. So we adjusted. We haven't built anything yet, but we've taken that into consideration.

My concern is, in looking back, would it have been better if I just built it and then beg forgiveness at this Board? Or did I go through the proper channel, which I thought I did, talking to the building inspector and preparing a building permit before I did that. So my issue is precedent. If this does get approved, what's it going to say? Like, what you've heard from other neighbors. And I agree with, I'm sorry I didn't get your name.

MS. BRAVIN: Karen Bravin.
MR. CHASE: Karen, and I agree with Karen that this is uncomfortable because I don't know our new neighbors and I heart-fully welcome them to the neighborhood and I don't like being in this position. But $I$ do think this is an important
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precedent for you to consider. Thank you. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you very much, and you did do the right thing.

Is there anybody else in the community who would like to speak? Yes?

JOSHUA SHORE: Joshua Shore, lifelong resident, I guess it's 45 years now.

On the record, I really like Mitch. Good basketball player. This is, like, uncomfortable. We play every weekend, and I might even take him on my team later when we draft today. So with everyone saying this is uncomfortable, I live in Arizona. I come here every summer for community. I grew up for the main part of the year on the other side, on the mainland. I consider this home.

I just wanted to speak on a couple things and I'll be brief. Not like my dad. You're not getting my cell phone. No chance.

I heard the word "reasonable" thrown around a bunch of times and I want to be respectful and you do fantastic work, but how could it be reasonable that he didn't think about it and just did it?

I work in technology and if I didn't
securitize a client's data, I would be fired and out of the industry. So that's the first. The second is, I just saw the America pull in. What I can't stop thinking about is how we can't bring propane tanks on a passenger ferry. Why? Because they're basically bombs, right? We talk about would three feet make a difference here or there? Then they wouldn't strategically position the tanks when they come over on the freight boat from the engine and under where the people are situated and driving the boat. Right? And they would be allowed on the passenger boat.

So, again, when you take those two things into consideration as you deliberate and make your decision, just come back to the word "reasonable". That's it. I don't know. Mitch and I spoke. This is not going to affect our relationship. I just, my parents are brave enough to live out here all year round and I was around when Sandy happened. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Did you say brave or crazy? JOSHUA SHORE: Well, no, no. I'm friendly with Joel, and I wouldn't call Joel crazy. I went through Sandy. I see power lines go down. I see
houses wash away. I know how difficult it is to get money from insurance companies, so, obviously, we're going through worse case scenarios. But anyway, as you deliberate, I want you to come back to the word "reasonable" and whatever happens, you and I are good. You know that. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you very much. If there are no other comments from the -- there is another.

MS. WOLKOFF: I don't understand. I mean, I understand that everybody's worried about being safe.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Could you state your name and introduce yourself?

MS. WOLKOFF: Kathy Wolkoff, 368 Denhoff.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you.
MS. WOLKOFF: I been here 70 something years. I understand all of that. But we have codes and we have setbacks to protect the little bit of space we have. And going beyond that, you should have a really good reason. Not just, I have to have an eight-foot table instead of a six-foot table. I mean, for you to go into those lanes should be thought really long and hard. Thank you.
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CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you.
For the record, there are set New York State criteria that we evaluate a variance application under, and they are criteria that we have to evaluate.

MS. WOLKOFF: I know that. I was on the Board at one time.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: So anybody else?
MR. GOSSET: I just wanted to respond Louis', what do you call, testimony. So I have no intention of keeping spare propane tanks on my deck as it's small enough as it is. They're not stored anywhere near his property. I have one spare propane tank and it's stored in a completely different area, so to that one concern I wanted to completely alleviate.

Secondly, I have no plans in my wildest imagination to do other work or expand further. This was strictly a one-time thing for the purposes that we indicated, and I would go on the record stating in front of all of you that this wouldn't be something that I would say, oh, let's expand further or do anything. I just wanted to put that on the record.

MS. WOLKOFF: But your neighbor, your
neighbor might.
MR. GOSSET: I can't control, but in response to that, $I$ would think that's what a variance is for. Anybody can apply for a variance, and the rules are the rules. But the Board has the opportunity here for both sides to make a decision based on the merits of a specific situation. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you.
MRS. SHORE: I'm Denise Shore, formally Denise Shaw (phonetic). I have been here my whole life.

I just don't understand how they didn't ask for a permit to begin with. We had Cosomo Morano (phonetic) come out to just do some boards and fix our collapsing steps. Not only did we pay for the permit, like all of us have to do, but he had to get special insurance that he told us later that other construction guys said they don't even need. You know, we did what we had to do. So that's the first thing. I don't get it.

You know, I started to see them building this thing and I climbed up and I said, "What's going on?"

And at first they said this and that and then, you know, I just waited to see if they'd get a permit, that they'd stop building this platform. Anyway, I just don't get that part.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you. What I'd like to --

MEMBER LOWRY: I just want -- go ahead.
MR. SHORE: In response to what Mitch said, and I do appreciate the positions he's taken, what if he rents the home? How do we know the renters aren't going to put a propane tank there? I just want to call attention to the variables at play, and I can't police it. My wife can't police it. So thank you.

MEMBER LOWRY: Just for the edification of everyone involved, we do have, each application is considered on its own merits. Let me just address this gentleman's issue. Certainly, if you feel that you have a flooding issue, you're welcome to bring that before us.

We do have five specific criteria that we have to consider when we are going to make a decision on this or any other variance application. And although we feel extremely strongly and we bristle tremendously at those
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who build it and then ask for, beg for forgiveness rather than asking for permission, that is not one of the criteria that we consider. I know much has been made of it and we frown upon it and we're very unhappy about it and it's not fair to the neighbors. Because the approach, obviously, is maybe I won't get caught then I won't have to deal with the whole process. We understand that.

But it's not for our consideration. We have to approach this as if it was a brand new application with an unbuilt bump out. Like, when you see a trial on television and they tell the jury you have to disregard what you just heard. Strike it from the record. That's the kind of thing that we're looking. I just want to make sure that you understand that before we go into deliberation.

MEMBER CONWAY: I would like to get some clarification from my fellow member because I don't understand that reasoning. The deck is built. I don't know how we can be considering a variance as if it's not there when it's already there.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Because if the deck --

MEMBER CONWAY: It's physical evidence. We went and looked at it. Maybe Ken Gray can tell me why I'm supposed to think that there's nothing there while I'm considering an application for a variance. I just don't understand. It's not what you said; it's my limitations.

Ken, can you explain to me how that works? MR. GRAY: Um, I'm more than happy -- can you hear me?

MEMBER CONWAY: Yes.
MR. GRAY: I'm more than happy to answer the question. I don't know if you want me to give legal advice in open session.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I don't think this is for discussion right now. What I would like to do is call an executive session.

I see Michael Murtha has one comment, and I would like to hear his comment, go into executive session to discuss legal issues, such as what Jeremy is asking and then come back in. Michael?

MR. MURTHA: So I am aware of the five criteria points that the Variance Committee has and I have addressed each and every one of them, I think, satisfactorily. May be a gray area, but
that's four against one. I don't know if one knocks you out of the box or not. The gray area might be there's another location for the barbecue which, fair enough.

We're here for a variance. There's a reason why there's a variance Board here, right? Because there's things that are always not perfectly the same and there's a reason why you have this high paying job that you guys have. Otherwise, it would be a building inspector saying, no, cut and dry. We're looking for you guys to give us relief.

The other thing is the house behind Mr. Gosset's house, however it got there, it's within two feet of the property line.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Not relevant. Okay?
MR. MURTHA: I understand but --
MEMBER LOWRY: An argument could also be made, Mike, that it's self-created. Not that you built it, but that the design of the deck without having adequate space for the barbecue could be considered as self-created.

MR. MURTHA: Fair enough.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: We don't have to go through that. Just know that each one of us has a
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disagreement with your findings on the number of matters of five that are met, but that's something that the Board --

MR. MURTHA: Thank you and do your good work. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Ken, do you want to hang up, and we'll call you on your cell phone since we can't move the Zoom call into the other room? Ken? Hello, Ken?

MR. GRAY: Yeah, that's fine. If you want to call me on my cell, that's fine.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I think that's the best way to do this because Marco can't physically move the Zoom call.

I make the motion to go into executive session to discuss the issue.

MEMBER CONWAY: Second.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: All in favor?
ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Anybody opposed?
(No verbal response given.)
Okay. We will try to do this quickly so we don't ruin everybody's Saturday.
(The Board went into executive session.)
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CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Okay. I'd like to call the hearing back in session. We have completed an executive session with our Counsel. Our Counsel being present online. Are you back in the Zoom meeting, Counsel?

MR. GRAY: I am, sir.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Okay. I see the applicant is here. The public is less than before, but -MR. GRAY: Is there anymore comment from the public or applicant at this point?

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Yes. Joel? MR. SILVERBERG: No. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: There's no more comment. Yes?

MR. MURTHA: After the decision, are we permitted to comment?

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: It depends if it's relevant. I think after a vote, we gonna close the meeting.

MR. MURTHA: It will be relevant.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Not if it's gonna be an attack of a neighbor.

MR. MURTHA: No, no, no, sir.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Depending on the relevance.
The Board has consulted --
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MR. GRAY: I'm sorry. Chairman Burris?
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Yes.
MR. GRAY: Is there no further comment from the public or the Board at this point?

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: There is no further
comment. Michael Murtha, the applicant, asked if he could speak after the vote, I believe, and I said only if it's relevant to the matter. And I'm not really sure after the vote what the relevance would be. So I would really prefer you to speak before. The closing statements should be made before if that's what you're making.

MR. MURTHA: I don't know the procedure, but that's fine. Just so, if we are not successful, we are planning on filing a Article 78 with Suffolk County Variance and we'd like the time to do that and keep things the way they are. We don't want to, if we're not successful this is. If we're successful, I take all this back. But, um, and we need time to do that and stay on anything you'd want us to do until we get the variance decision from New York State. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: In light of your comment, I want to call this to another executive session because you just threatened litigation. I need
the advice of Counsel on this.
MEMBER LOWRY: There have been threats of litigation from both sides of the room, and that serves absolutely no purpose. It only clouds the issues, and it does have nothing to do with our criteria or the way we're going to vote on this. MR. MURTHA: Then I retract that statement.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: The statement is retracted, but I have a feeling there's a threat of litigation and $I$ want to close the public session and go into executive session.

MR. MURTHA: Not litigation by any means.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Article 78 is litigation.
MR. MURTHA: I thought that was just another avenue we could pursue.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: That's litigation, that's filing a lawsuit. Okay? Motion to executive session.

MEMBER CONWAY: Second.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Anyone opposed?
(No verbal response given.)
MR. GOSSET: I'm the homeowner. I'm not filing a litigation against the Village.
(Second executive session commenced.)
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CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Counsel, are you on the line?

MR. GRAY: I am, sir.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Okay. We are back in the hearing room, out of executive session, and I move that we go out of executive session and back into the public hearing.

MEMBER SHERMAN: Second the motion.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: All in favor?
ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Okay.
MR. GRAY: Are you going to now close the public hearing?

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Well, I'm going to close it if there are no further comments from the public. Okay.

MR. SHORE: One quick question. Will the ruling be made today or --

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Louis, we'll get to that. Yes?

MR. GOSSET: Yeah, I wasn't aware of what was happening. So I'm the homeowner and I intend to abide by the decision made by the Board and not take any further action. I didn't even know there was any further action that could potentially be
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CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you very much, and that's much appreciated, your comment. Let you know, that, I guess, closing the public hearing and let everybody know that the Board has deliberated and evaluated the situation very carefully with extensive discussions regarding both the potential of litigation, which is a potential in all applications, and more importantly, the criteria set forth by New York State and in which this Zoning Board of Appeals is subject to in making decisions one way or the other. It's a balancing act and you have to look at five specified criteria, which I believe everybody here is aware of from what I've heard from both the applicant and at least one of the neighbors.

These five criterias (sic) have been dissected, analyzed carefully with the given set of facts to reach what $I$ believe will be the decision today after a vote. What I would like to do is make a motion to not approve the variance. That is to deny the variance application, and the criteria have each been discussed. But I would like Counsel, to
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ask Counsel, if he can, to please articulate the findings and the criteria as found on the situation by the Board.

MR. GRAY: Mr. Chairman, I will formulate a motion for you to make, and let me know if you agree with it or not.

But there's a motion to deny the variance application for the three-foot rear yard setback request based upon the fact that it would be of detriment to the nearby properties. Will be creating a safety issue to the neighboring properties.

Two. It been determined that the barbecue in question can be placed on other locations within the property. So, therefore, there are alternate methods to achieve what the applicant is seeking to do.

Three. It is a substantial variance in that it is a 37.5 percent variance requested concerning the rear yard setback.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: That is three feet out of eight feet.

MR. GRAY: That's 37.5 percent variance.
It may have an adverse environmental affect on the neighborhood. In that it will be closer
to the property lines and the electrical lines above them.

And it is self-created in the sense that it's already been built. The applicant has already built this extension of his deck, and therefore, this is a -- without a building permit -- and therefore, this is a self-created situation.

So I think that's the motion, and I think part of the motion is to direct the applicant to remove the offending structure on the deck forthwith.

Is anybody making that motion?
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you, Counsel. You have articulated the motion well and it reflects what we had discussed and findings at least with a portion of the board. But I would like to adopt your motion or our motion that you articulated and vote on it as a Board.

Do I have a second on the Board?
MEMBER CONWAY: Second.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Jeremy Conway seconded it.
Can we have a role call vote, Jessica
(sic)?
DEPUTY CLERK RULON: Joel Burris?
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CHAIRMAN BURRIS: In favor of the motion. DEPUTY CLERK RULON: Jeremy Conway?

MEMBER CONWAY: In favor of the motion. DEPUTY CLERK RULON: Kevin Conway, sorry, Lowry?

MEMBER LOWRY: Kevin Lowry, and I'm opposed.
DEPUTY CLERK RULON: Craig Sherman?
MEMBER SHERMAN: In favor.
DEPUTY CLERK RULON: And Constantine Karalis?
MEMBER KARALIS: In favor.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: The motion has passed and the variance has not been approved, which is always a very uncomfortable situation with the Board and something that we try hard not to do. Because we recognize the importance of having a community and we recognize the importance of community relationships and friendships within the community.

We are all part of a very small and,
hopefully, tightknit group here to support each other, protect each other, and help each other when we need, want things, or just on general daily courtesy basis. We are a Village, and I generally try to work out compromises with situations because I don't like a situation
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where a board votes something down without alternatives that might work for all parties. This is one of the few situations in my being Chairman for over ten years that we've actually voted something down without structuring some type of alternative for it. And I ask that the applicant please understand there is nothing personal and I know it's hard to see in this. And the vote basically was because we have legislative guidelines that we kind of have to abide by and we have community input to see when a variance might be acceptable and warranted, as well as the balancing of the tests. And we did do that. I am sorry that the vote was not in favor of your application, but I think we did what we had to do to serve the community appropriately.

So, I ask for your acceptance of this
without hard feelings towards the Board that is hesitant to do such things. I also ask that two neighbors not become enemies here, and I know that's very hard.

I had a neighbor cut off my deck 30 years ago. It was just atrocious. The deck had been up for 20 years, and they cut off a piece of it.
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I never could forgive him for that. Should I have? Probably not because he didn't take the proper channels. You took the proper channel coming to us today, and that should be appreciated.

So I ask the neighbor behind and neighbors adjoining that you all still remain neighbors and friends and I have to believe that there was nothing personal, no animosity between either of you towards one another.

Now I'm not a cleric. I'm not a rabbi. I'm not a minister, but $I$ ask for unity in the community and for everybody to work together. That also holds true with Mike Murtha. Mike's a fine builder, builds a beautiful house. We all walked by your house and said this is beautiful. So nobody's holding anybody as a villain, and I hope that you're able to place the barbecue in a place that it's not objectionable to you and that you're able to find what you need on your deck.

And I probably said too much already. On that note, I'm going to close the meeting and thank everybody for attendance.

MEMBER LOWRY: I second.

ALL MEMBERS: Aye. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you. (Time Noted: 12:53 p.m.)
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| MS. BRAVIN: [2] 35/16 37/20 | A | anybody [9] 21/24 25/1 35/13 38/4 41/8 42/4 |
| MS. WOLKOFF: [5] 40/10 40/15 40/17 41/6 41/25 | a.m [1] $1 / 9$ and <br> abide [3] $35 / 1051 / 2356 / 11$ anymore [1] $48 / 9$ |  |
|  | ability [1] 20/19 | anyone [2] 14/12 50/20 |
| '30s [1] 36/12 | able [3] 23/8 57/18 57/20 | $25 / 1633 / 2135 / 2037 / 841 / 2349 / 21$ |
| 'bout [1] 33/15 | about [17] $8 / 99 / 21 \quad 11 / 3 \quad 11 / 10 \quad 12 / 15 \quad 16 / 18$ | anyway [4] 6/3 16/15 40/4 43/4 |
|  | 39/4 39/7 40/11 44/5 | anywhere [2] 10/3 41/13 |
|  | above [2] 13/5 54/2 <br> absolutely [2] 7/22 50/4 | apologize [1] 3/13 <br> APPEALS [3] $1 / 43 / 3$ 52/12 |
|  | accept [1] 20/4 | APPEARING [1] $2 / 1$ |
| 0 | acceptable [1] 56/12 | appears [1] 33/13 |
| 052 [2] 1/6 4/7 | acceptance [2] $32 / 556 / 18$ accepting [1] $33 / 3$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { applicant [16] } 4 / 116 / 87 / 107 / 177 / 1925 / 2 \\ 25 / 426 / 248 / 748 / 1049 / 652 / 1753 / 1654 / 4 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1 | accommodate [1] 18/21 | 54/10 56/7 |
| 10:03 [1] 3/1 | accurate [1] 59/7 <br> achieve [1] 53/16 | $\text { application [26] } 1 / 64 / 74 / 146 / 116 / 136 / 22$ |
| $11 \text { [1] } 4 / 22$ | acknowledge [1] 8/16 | 7/3 8/6 10/20 13/7 24/24 30/4 30/9 30/11 31/3 |
| $11795[1] 2 / 4$ | act [1] 52/14 | 31/8 31/17 32/6 41/4 43/16 43/24 44/12 45/4 |
| 11:03 [2] 1/9 3/1 | action [2] 51/24 51/25 <br> actually [3] 5/4 11/8 56/5 | $\begin{array}{\|l} 52 / 2453 / 856 / 15 \\ \text { applications [2] 34/19 52/10 } \end{array}$ |
| 11th [2] 29/18 59/9 | $\text { add [4] } 8 / 18 \text { 22/20 22/21 } 26 / 24$ | apply [1] 42/4 |
| 12:53 [1] 58/3 | $\text { added [1] } 17 / 12$ | appreciate [7] 7/4 17/17 18/23 20/8 32/3 36/3 |
| 18th [1] 29/20 <br> 1st [2] 28/6 29/16 |  | $43 / 9$ |
| 2 | address [4] 11/5 13/10 26/9 43/1 | approach [2] 44/7 44/11 |
| 20 [5] 10/8 16/18 21/6 21/6 56/25 | addressed [3] 11/24 16/7 45/2 <br> addresses [2] 4/6 6/14 | appropriately [1] 56/17 <br> approval [4] 8/12 30/8 30/9 34/18 |
| 20 feet [1] 20/25 | addressing [1] 4/5 | approve [5] 23/4 27/21 31/17 31/18 52/23 |
| 20-foot [1] 21/14 | adequate [1] 46/21 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2 0 0 6}[\mathbf{2}] \quad 27 / 2227 / 22 \\ & \mathbf{2 0 2 2}[\mathbf{1}] \\ & 8 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | adjacent [1] 17/22 | $\begin{array}{\|lllll} 55 / 12 \\ \text { approximately [5] } & 4 / 15 & 4 / 16 & 12 / 5 & 12 / 6 \end{array} 12 / 8$ |
| 2023 [7] $1 / 93 / 24 / 225 / 8$ 5/12 12/3 59/9 | adjudicating [1] 31/1 | April [3] 28/13 29/12 29/15 |
| 21-foot [2] 9/25 10/8 | $\text { adjusted [1] } 37 / 8$ | April 27th [2] 28/13 29/15 |
| 21-square-foot [1] 10/10 | adopt [1] 54/17 | architect [1] 16/24 |
| 228 [1] $12 / 8$ | adverse [2] 10/21 53/24 | are [39] 6 6/6 8/7 $10 / 22$ 13/1 $15 / 616 / 619 / 16$ |
| 23 [1] 5/19 | advice [2] 45/13 50/1 | 22/2 22/13 25/9 25/19 26/4 27/5 30/13 31/18 |
| 24/7 [1] 27/11 | affect [2] 39/19 53/24 | 35/8 36/16 39/11 39/20 40/6 40/8 41/2 41/4 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\mathbf{2 5} \text { feet [2] } & 20 / 25 & 21 / 6 \\ \mathbf{2 5 - f o o t ~ [ 1 ] ~} & 21 / 15\end{array}$ | affected [1] 25/13 | 42/5 43/22 46/7 47/2 48/4 48/15 49/14 49/15 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & \text { 25-foot [1] } 21 / 15 \\ & \mathbf{2 7 6 [ 1 ] ~} 36 / 11 \end{aligned}\right.$ | affects [1] 10/22 | 49/17 51/1 51/4 51/12 51/15 53/15 55/19 $55 / 23$ |
| 278 [4] 4/2 27/9 30/5 34/22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { after [9] 11/17 11/20 28/14 31/5 48/15 48/18 } \\ & 49 / 749 / 952 / 21 \end{aligned}$ | area [23] 4/20 4/24 4/25 5/1 9/7 9/20 15/10 |

area... [16] 15/13 17/4 17/8 17/9 17/10 18/10 20/25 21/5 21/6 21/10 21/12 21/15 34/1 41/15 45/25 46/2
areas [2] 23/9 23/22
aren't [1] 43/11
argument [1] 46/18
Arizona [1] 38/13
arm [1] 9/13
around [7] 15/7 18/6 18/9 18/10 19/6 38/20 39/21
Article [2] 49/15 50/13
articulate [1] 53/1
articulated [2] 54/15 54/18
as [45] 6/12 7/11 7/20 8/10 9/5 9/15 12/15
13/3 17/12 18/1 18/24 20/16 22/1 22/1 23/6
24/10 24/10 24/11 27/1 27/20 29/21 30/10
30/17 30/25 31/2 31/20 31/20 34/3 34/11
35/24 35/25 36/5 39/15 40/4 41/12 41/12
44/11 44/23 45/20 46/22 53/2 54/19 56/13
56/13 57/17
ask [15] 6/8 10/16 23/12 28/12 30/24 31/3
33/7 42/13 44/1 53/1 56/7 56/18 56/20 57/6
57/12
asked [2] 35/21 49/6
asking [7] 9/11 12/10 13/1 13/4 35/4 44/2
45/20
assembled [1] 15/3
assume [1] 17/5
assuming [1] 16/19
atrocious [1] 56/24
attack [1] 48/22
attendance [2] 8/7 57/24
attention [1] 43/12
ATTORNEY [1] 1/21
audio [1] 26/4
August [3] 1/9 3/2 5/12
August 3rd [1] 5/12
authority [1] 31/16
avenue [2] $2 / 4$ 50/15
aware [5] 7/2 14/21 45/22 51/21 52/16
away [10] 10/23 16/14 19/9 21/22 22/1 23/22
27/5 34/12 34/14 40/1
Aye [3] 47/19 51/10 58/1

## B

back [14] 14/25 18/11 19/25 25/6 36/17 37/10 39/16 40/4 45/20 48/2 48/4 49/19 51/4 51/6
background [1] 26/6
backyard [2] 18/2 36/23
bad [1] 28/11
balancing [4] 22/3 22/4 52/14 56/13
Bales [1] 26/16
barbecue [36] 14/14 14/21 15/11 15/17 16/8
17/21 18/3 18/8 18/21 19/5 19/7 19/8 19/12
19/16 19/21 20/10 20/11 20/12 20/14 20/18
20/20 21/22 23/14 23/20 24/24 26/25 31/8
32/11 32/17 33/11 34/1 34/7 46/3 46/21 53/13

## 57/18

barbecues [3] 19/1 19/4 21/3
barbecuing [2] 17/9 18/16
based [3] 9/11 42/7 53/9
basically [7] 4/23 5/19 29/25 35/22 36/1 39/6 56/9
basis [2] 31/1 55/23
basketball [1] 38/9
bay [1] 18/12
be [63]
beach [17] 1/1 $1 / 103 / 34 / 104 / 185 / 95 / 11$ 5/25 9/1 9/6 14/21 16/4 18/25 23/13 24/24

## 27/25 28/22

beautiful [5] 17/4 21/25 36/15 57/15 57/16 because [28] 7/12 9/2 9/14 14/3 19/1 22/6 23/18 25/12 25/14 26/4 27/18 29/12 34/1 34/18 35/1 35/22 37/22 39/6 44/6 44/20 44/25

46/7 47/13 49/25 55/15 55/25 56/10 57/2
become [1] 56/21
bedroom [1] 27/12
been [23] 6/15 6/24 13/2 13/23 14/6 21/17
26/7 26/12 26/13 34/25 36/11 36/12 37/11
40/17 42/11 44/4 50/2 52/18 52/25 53/13 54/4 55/12 56/24
before [19] $3 / 2511 / 2211 / 2312 / 2013 / 313 / 17$
17/20 20/13 21/1 21/17 22/21 33/25 35/20
37/15 43/20 44/17 48/8 49/11 49/12
beg [2] 37/11 44/1
begin [1] 42/14
behalf [1] $8 / 6$
behind [4] $12 / 17$ 35/5 46/13 57/6
being [8] 6/22 14/22 16/14 35/21 37/24 40/11
48/4 56/4
believe [9] 4/12 12/14 13/1 19/5 31/19 49/7
52/15 52/20 57/8
believer [1] 34/24
below [1] 9/22
benefit [1] 35/4
best [6] $9 / 20 \quad 19 / 21 \quad 19 / 23 ~ 21 / 23 ~ 21 / 25 ~ 47 / 12 ~$
better [3] 25/24 28/25 37/11
between [2] 18/14 57/9
beyond [1] 40/20
big [4] 8/21 24/15 36/7 36/19
bigger [1] 34/21
bit [2] 26/6 40/19
blinds [1] 27/11
board [45] $1 / 43 / 33 / 203 / 257 / 27 / 167 / 24$
11/10 12/24 13/17 14/12 17/18 22/5 22/12
22/19 23/2 23/3 25/1 26/24 27/20 28/3 30/1
$31 / 331 / 2132 / 834 / 2035 / 537 / 1241 / 742 / 5$
$46 / 647 / 347 / 2448 / 2549 / 451 / 23$ 52/6 52/12
53/3 54/17 54/19 54/20 55/14 56/1 56/19
Board's [6] 29/10 31/7 31/14 31/15 31/16
32/12
boards [1] 42/16
boat [4] 1/10 39/10 39/11 39/13
bombs [1] 39/6
books [1] $35 / 8$
both [5] 15/24 42/6 50/3 52/8 52/16
bought [1] 17/25
box [1] 46/2
BP2023 [2] 1/6 4/7
BP2023-052 [2] 1/6 4/7
brand [1] 44/11
brave [2] 39/20 39/22
Bravin [2] 35/16 37/20
brief [1] 38/18
bring [2] 39/5 43/20
bristle [1] 43/25
brother [1] 26/18
brought [3] 11/20 11/22 28/9
build [2] 35/19 44/1
buildable [1] 11/2
builder [4] 4/13 8/10 28/24 57/15
building [19] 4/19 4/21 5/25 6/22 12/14 21/9
27/24 28/5 28/12 28/14 29/17 29/19 36/25
37/14 37/15 42/23 43/3 46/10 54/6
builds [1] 57/15
built [13] $6 / 27 / 1211 / 911 / 1612 / 1917 / 20$
21/18 37/8 37/11 44/22 46/20 54/4 54/5
bump [24] 4/14 4/23 4/25 5/20 5/23 9/19
17/10 17/20 18/17 19/13 19/25 20/13 27/6
27/10 27/15 28/20 29/20 30/9 30/18 31/4
32/12 33/23 34/21 44/12
bunch [1] 38/21
burned [2] 9/14 14/16
burnt [1] 16/8
BURRIS [5] $1 / 15$ 3/8 6/17 49/1 54/25
businesses [1] 35/10

## C

call [14] 3/5 3/19 3/24 39/24 41/10 43/12
45/16 47/7 47/8 47/11 47/14 48/1 49/24 54/23
called [1] 36/25
calling [1] 33/23
came [1] 33/12
can [24] 3/21 3/22 7/23 8/2 10/11 10/17 13/7
17/13 19/15 22/25 24/10 24/21 26/2 31/9
33/14 34/22 42/4 44/22 45/2 45/7 45/8 53/1
53/14 54/23
can't [12] 15/1 16/9 19/4 24/16 $37 / 5$ 39/4 39/5
42/2 43/13 43/13 47/8 47/13
cannot [1] 19/5
carefully [2] 52/7 52/19
case [2] 21/17 40/3
caught [2] 16/14 44/7
cause [1] 29/3
caused [1] 30/19
cell [8] 32/22 32/24 33/2 33/4 33/12 38/19
47/7 47/11
certain [3] 23/22 23/23 31/18
certainly [4] $16 / 2$ 16/8 24/12 43/18
certificate [4] 12/3 12/16 28/2 28/6
CERTIFICATION [1] 59/2
certify [2] 23/21 59/5
cetera [2] 23/9 31/22
chair [2] 15/12 15/13
CHAIRMAN [11] $1 / 15$ 3/9 3/14 7/24 10/10
11/3 17/18 24/11 49/1 53/4 56/4
chairs [2] 18/15 21/3
chance [2] 25/6 38/19
change [3] 10/1 10/21 35/7
changed [1] $12 / 20$
channel [2] 37/13 57/3
channels [1] 57/3
Chapman [3] 1/23 59/4 59/13
character [5] 8/19 9/3 10/1 36/4 36/6
charcoal [1] 19/4
Chase [1] 36/10
children [4] $13 / 22$ 13/24 14/3 14/4
chime [2] 6/17 7/8
circumstances [1] 13/19
clarification [3] 7/2 10/7 44/20
clarified [1] 32/6
clean [1] 28/2
clear [2] 7/3 34/2
Clearly [1] 35/11
cleric [1] 57/11
CLERK [1] $1 / 20$
client's [1] 39/1
climbed [1] 42/24
close [9] 16/7 18/4 24/10 30/13 48/18 50/10
51/12 51/14 57/23
closer [4] 15/14 25/23 34/7 53/25
closing [2] 49/11 52/4
clouds [1] 50/4
code [9] 4/19 16/22 16/25 17/1 22/4 22/18
23/8 27/25 29/18
codes [3] 31/1 34/25 40/18
cohesively [1] 22/9
collapsing [1] 42/16
combustible [6] 19/6 19/9 23/9 24/6 24/8 24/10
come [13] 13/16 17/15 18/1 19/10 23/20 25/6
37/2 38/13 39/9 39/16 40/4 42/15 45/20
coming [6] 6/7 9/17 16/5 16/7 34/19 57/4
commence [1] 31/6
commenced [1] 50/24
comment [9] 45/17 45/18 48/9 48/13 48/16 49/3 49/6 49/23 52/3
comments [6] 7/15 11/24 25/7 32/3 40/8
51/15
committee [2] 3/9 45/23
community [20] $10 / 21$ 11/7 22/8 22/8 22/17
22/21 23/2 24/19 25/7 25/12 35/4 35/13 38/4 38/14 55/16 55/17 55/18 56/11 56/17 57/13
community's [1] 27/4
companies [1] 40/2
compass [1] 33/18



| H | its [2] $26 / 2543 / 17$ | 25 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| however [1] 46/14 hypothetical [1] 30/8 |  | 3] 9/12 26/13 42/12 |
|  | J | light [2] 31/24 49/23 |
| I | JACQUELINE [1] 1/20 | like [31] 7/9 7/20 10/10 11/6 14/15 17/11 18/7 |
| I'm [47] 3/22 4/7 7/7 7/16 8/1 8/9 12/10 14/21 | Jessica [1] 54/23 | 37/24 38/5 38/8 38/9 38/18 42/17 43/5 44/ |
|  | lob [4] 16/21 17/2 22/6 46/9 | 44/19 45/15 45/18 48/1 49/16 52/22 52/25 |
| $\text { 16/23 } 17 / 6 \text { 17/18 19/19 19/21 20/1 20/4 }$ | JOEL [8] 1/15 3/8 11/10 32/21 39/24 39/24 | 54/17 55/25 |
| $23 / 2 \quad 26 / 10 \quad 29 / 25 \quad 30 / 2433 / 333 / 2334 / 17$ | 48/11 54/25 | itations [1] |
|  | John [1] 11/11 | limited [4] 15/19 15/21 16/6 |
| 34/24 <br> $39 / 23$ <br> $42 / 10$ | Joshua [2] 26/12 | line [9] 4/18 5/2 10/23 11/1 11/2 12/6 28/20) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 50 / 2250 / 2251 / 1451 / 2255 / 657 / 1157 / 11 \\ & 57 / 1257 / 23 \end{aligned}$ | 1] $5 / 8$ | 46/15 51/2 |
|  | [1 | lips [2] 14/25 $15 / 7$ |
| I've [3] 31/20 35/20 52/16 idea [3] 21/19 26/18 36/2 | jurisdictions [1] 32/ | list [1] $6 / 14$ |
|  | jury [1] 44/14 | litigation [9] 31/25 49/25 50/3 50/10 50/12 |
| illegal [1] 19/1 | just [43] 4/4 6/25 $11 / 413 / 9$ 15/21 16/12 19/20 | 50/13 50/16 50/23 52/9 |
| image [2] 29/22 32/9 | 21/15 22/23 23/8 23/12 26/5 26/18 27/17 | little [2] 26/6 40/19 |
| imagination [1] 41/18 immediately [1] 31/5 | 32/12 33/1 34/15 37/11 38/17 38/24 39/3 | live [3] 37/1 38/13 39/20 |
|  | 39/16 39/19 40/21 41/9 41/23 42/13 42/15 | located [1] 4/9 |
| importance [2] 55/15 55/16 | 43/2 43/4 43/7 | location [2] 9/8 46/3 |
| importantly [1] 52/10 impression [2] 27/8 27/19 | 45/5 46/25 49/14 49/25 50/14 55/22 56/2 | locations [1] 53/14 |
|  | K | logical [1] 9/18 |
| inaudible [1] $33 / 8$inches [1] 14/24 | KARALIS | look [6] 17/24 18/19 23/20 29/7 37/3 52/1 |
|  | Karen [4] 35/16 37/20 37/21 37/21 | looked [2] 37/4 45/2 |
| included [1] 20/15 | Kathy [1] 40/15 | looking [4] 21/21 37/10 44/16 46/11 |
| INCORPORATED [1] 1/1indicated [1] 41/20 | keep [3] 19/12 23/24 49/1 | lot[3] 17/7 20/10 20/1 |
|  | keeping [1] 41/11 | [1] 26/3 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\text { industry [1] } & 39 / 2 \\ \text { inflicted [1] } & 8 / 17\end{array}$ | keeps [2] 8/19 27/11 | der [1] $25 / 2$ |
|  | Kees [8] 3/21 3/21 6/20 45/2 45/7 47/6 47/9 | Louis [11] 5/8 5/10 5/14 25/10 25/15 |
| information [2] 26/22 28/1infractions [1] 29/24 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ken [8] } 3 / 213 / 216 / 2045 / 245 / 74 / / 647 / 9 \\ & 47 / 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 / 1735 / 2536 / 136 / 1751 / 19 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | KENNETH [1] 1/21 | Louis' [1] 41/9 |
| inhabitable [1] 24/17input [1] 56/12 | KEVIN [9] 1/16 3/10 3/11 3/12 3/12 3/13 11/9 | lounge [2] 15/12 15/13 |
|  | 55/4 55/6 | low [2] 27/2 36/20 |
| insist [1] 31/3inspection [3] 4/2 4/3 4/4 | kind [5] 14/25 18/6 24/12 44/16 56/11 | LOWRY [5] |
|  | [2] 30/14 34/1 | M |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { inspector [11] } 4 / 21 \text { 12/15 23/18 23/19 27/24 } \\ & 28 / 628 / 1429 / 1737 / 137 / 1446 / 10 \end{aligned}$ | knocks [1] 46/2 | made [10] 6/25 15/24 17/24 29/13 35/3 44/4 |
|  | know [42] 3/24 5/15 10/25 11/9 11/25 14/17 | 46/19 49/11 51/18 51/23 |
| instead [6] 8/14 11/13 12/7 21/8 21/15 40/22 insulation [1] 19/7 | 15/2 16/19 18/12 19/6 19/11 20/1 20/2 22/11 | magnificat [1] 16/20 |
|  | 22/19 23/21 23/25 28/11 28/24 33/17 36/3 | magnificent [1] 16/20 |
| insurance [2] 40/2 42/18 | 37/22 39/17 40/1 40/6 41/6 42/20 42/23 43/2 | main [1] 38/15 |
| integrity [1] 22/17 | 43/10 44/4 44/22 45/12 46/1 46/25 49/13 | mainland [1] 38/16 |
| intention [1] 41/11 | 51/24 52/4 52/ | tain [1] 22/17 |
| intentionally [1] 16/23 | L |  |
| interesting [1] 23/17interfere [1] 15/11 | lane [1] 10/24 | major [1] 13/2 |
|  | lanes [1] 40/23 |  |
| interfering [1] $26 / 3$interim [1] $33 / 25$ | large [1] 17/4 | 26/1 35/14 39/7 39/15 42/6 43/22 44/17 47/15 |
|  | Last [2] 9/12 36/18 | 52/22 53/5 |
| Interior [3] $5 / 18$ 5/24 11/4interject [1] $7 / 17$ | later [2] 38/11 42/19 | makers [1] 35/2 |
|  | law [6] 6/3 12/20 30/3 30/12 30/25 34/24 | makes [1] 14/18 |
| introduce [4] 8/8 11/6 26/8 40/14invades [1] 27/6 | laws [4] 35/2 35/7 35/8 35/10 | making [5] 12/9 17/3 49/12 52/12 54/13 |
|  | lawsuit [1] 50/17 | mandate [1] 31/4 |
| invited [1] 35/18 <br> involved [2] 13/2 | layers [1] 29/24 | mandating [1] 31/22 |
|  | $\text { least [2] } 52 / 1754 / 1$ | mandatory [1] 23/4 |
|  |  | many [3] 18/25 18/25 30/11 |
| ISLAND [1] $1 / 2$ |  |  |
| Islip [1]isn't [1]in$32 / 18$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll} \text { left [3] } & 15 / 10 & 17 / 5 & 18 / 11 \end{array}$ | marketing [1] 32/ |
|  | $\begin{array}{llll} \text { lett [3] } \\ \text { legal [2] } & 45 / 13 & 45 / 19 \end{array}$ | Marty [1] 26/16 |
| issue [15] 15/24 16/13 19/2 24/14 24/15 24/18 | legal [2] 45/13 45/19 | Marty [1] 26/16 massive [1] 20/20 |
| 24/19 36/7 36/16 36/16 37/16 43/18 43/19$47 / 16$ 53/11 | legalize [1] $7 / 21$ | massive [1] 20/20 |
|  | legislative [1] 56/10 | master [1] 27/12 |
| issued [3] 5/25 13/17 28/6 | legitimize [1] 21/19 | material [4] 24/6 24/8 24/13 32/14 |
|  | Leigh [3] 1/23 59/4 59/13 | mats [1] 23/25 |
| issues [5] 16/6 19/11 32/1 45/19 50/5 <br> it [155] <br> it's [58] 5/7 5/12 5/20 5/22 6/2 6/15 6/20 7/12 | length [1] 4/16 | matter [2] 13/3 49 |
|  | lengthy [1] 5/12 | matters [1] 47/2 |
| 8/1 10/3 10/7 10/8 10/9 10/11 10/16 10/25 | less [5] 12/15 13/4 15/12 16/17 48/8 | may [15] 4/22 5/14 6/17 12/3 13/23 14/6 |
| 11/4 11/5 12/4 15/15 15/19 15/20 15/25 16/20 | let [8] 3/23 3/24 19/12 25/21 43/17 52/4 52/5 | 15/11 27/1 28/6 29/8 29/16 29/18 29/20 45/25 |
| 17/10 17/12 19/7 23/10 24/19 24/19 24/23 |  | 53/24 |
| 25/14 29/5 33/25 34/8 34/15 34/21 35/8 36/12 |  | May 11th [1] 29/18 |
| 38/7 41/12 41/14 44/6 44/10 44/23 44/23 45/1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { letter [7] 4/22 4/23 5/4 5/7 5/17 5/24 6/23 } \\ & \text { letters [1] 22/15 } \end{aligned}$ | May 18th [1] 29/20 |
| $45 / 5$ 45/6 46/14 46/19 48/17 48/21 49/8 52/13$54 / 456 / 857 / 19$ | $\begin{array}{lll} \text { letters [1] } & 22 / 15 \\ \text { level [2] } & 9 / 23 & 14 / 18 \end{array}$ | May 1st [2] 28/6 29/16 |
|  | liability [3] 27/16 30/16 30/21 | maybe [6] $10 / 18$ 15/12 27/2 33/4 44/7 45/2 |

me [30] 3/23 7/23 8/4 10/5 10/17 11/25 15/7 15/18 16/12 17/13 18/7 19/12 19/15 19/19 20/24 25/21 28/15 28/19 28/21 28/24 34/23 35/19 36/8 43/17 45/3 45/7 45/9 45/12 47/11 53/5
mean [2] 40/10 40/23
means [1] 50/12
meeting [10] 3/2 3/24 3/25 4/6 5/13 7/9 35/18
48/5 48/19 57/23
meets [1] 5/1
member [7] $1 / 16$ 1/17 $1 / 18$ 1/19 3/13 3/14 44/20
members [5] 3/25 6/6 7/25 22/19 30/19
memory [1] 28/18
mentioned [2] $11 / 336 / 1$
merely [1] $33 / 23$
merits [2] 42/7 43/17
met [2] 36/14 47/2
metal [1] 24/12
methods [1] 53/16
Michael [6] 2/3 4/11 8/9 45/17 45/21 49/6
microphone [1] $8 / 2$
middle [2] 17/6 23/15
might [7] 16/13 30/6 38/11 42/1 46/3 56/2 56/12
Mike [6] 10/5 18/1 29/7 29/8 46/19 57/14
Mike's [1] 57/14
mine [1] 9/13
minimis [2] 8/18 9/25
minister [1] 57/12
minor [1] 10/20
Minsky [1] 27/24
Mitch [6] 17/18 20/9 35/6 38/8 39/18 43/8
Mitchell [2] 4/8 8/6
modifying [1] 30/12
money [4] 18/1 18/17 18/20 40/2
months [1] 12/15
moot [2] 11/4 $11 / 5$
Morano [1] 42/15
more [12] 12/9 12/10 18/17 23/1 23/1 26/23
28/25 29/11 45/8 45/11 48/13 52/10
morning [3] 7/24 8/3 25/22
most [3] 23/15 25/13 27/14
motion [15] 47/15 50/17 51/8 52/22 53/5 53/7
54/9 54/10 54/13 54/15 54/18 54/18 55/1 55/3
55/11
move [6] 15/14 20/19 23/22 47/8 47/13 51/5
moved [1] 18/11
moving [1] 19/22
Mr [2] 10/13 27/8
Mr. [8] 6/17 7/24 12/17 17/18 27/18 28/10
46/14 53/4
Mr. and [1] 12/17
Mr. Burris [1] 6/17
Mr. Chairman [3] 7/24 17/18 53/4
Mr. Gosset's [1] 46/14
Mr. Murtha [2] 27/18 28/10
Mrs [1] 12/17
much [9] 7/3 26/22 36/3 38/2 40/7 44/4 52/2 52/3 57/22
Murtha [12] $2 / 3$ 2/3 4/12 8/9 10/13 27/8 27/18 28/10 36/4 45/17 49/6 57/14
must [2] 15/3 22/9
my [40] $9 / 25$ 16/12 19/6 20/2 23/21 26/6 26/11 26/13 26/17 26/20 27/11 28/18 30/1 30/19 30/20 32/4 34/2 34/3 34/11 34/16 36/10 36/12 36/16 37/10 37/15 38/11 38/18 38/19 39/19 41/11 41/17 42/11 43/13 44/20 45/6 47/11 56/3 56/23 59/7 59/9
myself [2] 3/8 11/9
N
name [5] 3/5 35/15 36/10 37/19 40/13
names [1] 6/14
near [2] 24/7 41/13
nearby [1] 53/10
necessary [1] 23/7
necessity [1] 17/13
need [7] 24/4 29/7 42/20 49/20 49/25 55/22 57/20
needs [2] $6 / 25$ 33/9
negative [2] 30/14 34/17
neighbor [10] 22/16 25/10 35/22 35/23 35/25
41/25 42/1 48/22 56/23 57/6
neighborhood [7] 8/20 9/3 10/2 36/5 36/14 37/24 53/25
neighboring [1] 53/11
neighborliness [1] 36/7
neighbors [11] 20/2 22/15 34/3 35/24 37/18
37/23 44/6 52/17 56/21 57/6 57/7
never [2] 35/20 57/1
new [17] $1 / 21 / 102 / 44 / 108 / 249 / 512 / 1114 / 3$
29/3 35/19 37/1 37/23 41/2 44/11 49/22 52/11
59/5
newest [1] 3/14
next [1] 35/17
nice [1] 17/10
nine [2] 10/23 10/25
no [41] $4 / 3$ 6/5 9/22 10/21 $11 / 18$ 11/22 $13 / 24$
14/11 14/15 14/18 14/19 16/11 16/17 17/14
19/16 21/19 25/3 31/8 33/1 33/9 33/25 34/10
38/19 39/23 39/23 40/8 41/10 41/17 46/11
47/21 48/12 48/13 48/23 48/23 48/23 49/3
49/5 50/4 50/21 51/15 57/9
nobody [1] 27/8
nobody's [1] 57/17
noise [1] 26/3
noncompliance [2] 28/9 29/6
nonconforming [2] 5/21 12/4
nonconformity [1] 24/20
norm [1] 23/13
north [2] 16/1 33/18
northern [1] 37/1
not [62]
Notary [1] 59/4
note [2] 6/21 57/23
Noted [1] 58/3
notes [1] 59/7
nothing [4] 45/3 50/5 56/8 57/9
notice [2] 6/9 29/13
notices [1] $5 / 3$
noting [1] $7 / 4$
now [14] 3/20 5/7 18/16 18/20 21/18 27/17
28/10 30/13 31/10 32/2 38/7 45/15 51/12 57/11
number [5] 1/64/7 16/3 23/23 47/1
numbers [1] 16/4

## 0

objection [1] $5 / 22$
objectionable [1] 57/19
observation [1] 16/12
obtain [1] 15/16
obviously [4] 22/1 24/18 40/2 44/7
Occupancy [2] 12/4 28/7
OCEAN [16] $1 / 11 / 103 / 34 / 94 / 185 / 95 / 11$
5/25 9/1 9/6 14/20 18/24 23/13 24/24 27/25
28/22
off [3] 24/14 56/23 56/25
offending [1] 54/11
Office [4] 5/9 5/11 28/17 32/25
Officer [1] 27/25
officially [1] 36/14
oh [1] 41/23
okay [16] 3/18 14/10 19/18 20/3 20/21 22/6
24/2 25/18 46/16 47/22 48/1 48/7 50/17 51/4
51/11 51/16
old [1] 3/13
oldest [1] 26/18
once [1] 14/16
one [29] 5/4 8/21 $11 / 8$ 11/8 $12 / 2$ 13/13 13/14 13/16 13/18 15/12 22/15 26/20 32/1 32/19 41/7 41/13 41/15 41/19 44/3 45/17 45/24 46/1 46/1 46/25 51/17 52/13 52/17 56/3 57/10
one-time [1] 41/19
ongoing [1] 28/16
online [1] 48/4
only [8] $14 / 2315 / 2519 / 15$ 27/5 34/11 42/16 49/8 50/4
open [6] 5/13 7/15 22/21 25/6 26/4 45/13
opinion [1] 32/4
opportunity [2] $8 / 542 / 6$
opposed [4] 19/21 47/20 50/20 55/6
option [3] 9/24 21/23 22/1
order [1] 3/24
original [3] 4/13 17/24 18/20
originally [2] 18/18 19/23
other [27] 6/5 13/13 13/14 13/16 13/24 14/20
18/6 22/19 23/24 25/2 27/17 28/1 32/11 34/19 37/18 38/15 40/8 41/18 42/19 43/23 46/13
47/8 52/13 53/14 55/21 55/21 55/21
others [1] 35/6
Otherwise [1] 46/10
our [20] 3/14 22/6 26/12 27/3 27/6 27/11
27/14 27/19 27/22 31/23 32/3 36/18 37/23
39/19 42/16 44/10 48/3 48/3 50/5 54/18
ours [1] 27/10
out [41] 4/14 4/23 4/25 5/20 5/23 7/12 9/19
15/1 16/15 17/10 17/20 18/1 18/17 19/13
19/25 20/13 27/6 27/10 27/13 27/15 28/18
28/20 29/20 30/9 30/18 31/4 32/12 33/23
33/24 34/2 35/19 37/2 39/2 39/20 42/15
44/12 46/2 51/5 51/6 53/21 55/24
outcome [1] 22/7
outdoor [1] 17/22
outside [1] 26/3
over [8] 22/13 26/11 29/8 29/17 35/1 36/22
39/9 56/4
oversight [1] 29/11
own [1] 43/17
owned [1] 4/8
owners [3] 30/5 33/7 33/11

## P

p.m [1] 58/3
pages [1] 25/9
paid [1] 17/25
palatable [1] 23/1
paper [2] 10/13 10/15
paramount [1] 24/18
parents [1] 39/19
part [9] 6/25 26/10 30/7 30/25 31/2 38/15
43/4 54/10 55/19
parties [1] 56/2
passed [1] 55/11
passenger [2] 39/5 39/13
Patrick [1] 27/23
pay [1] 42/17
paying [1] 46/9
people [9] 16/2 16/3 16/7 $18 / 5$ 18/6 18/9 23/15 29/2 39/11
percent [2] 53/19 53/23
perfectly [1] 46/8
performed [1] 6/21
perhaps [1] 7/18
permission [2] 23/6 44/2
permissive [1] 23/10
permit [14] 1/6 4/20 5/25 21/9 23/19 24/24
28/12 28/23 29/19 37/15 42/14 42/17 43/3 54/7
permitted [1] 48/16
person [1] 25/13
personal [2] 56/8 57/9
perusing [1] 33/12
Peter [1] 26/18
phone [7] 32/22 32/24 33/2 33/4 33/12 38/19



| T | 49 | $51 / 2152 / 1652 / 2052 / 2253 / 1654 / 1656 / 16$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| threats [1] 50/2 | use [1] 15/15 <br> using [1] 33/18 | what's [7] 18/20 22/16 23/7 23/12 29/4 37/17 |
| /21 | V | whatever [3] 22/6 22/7 40/5 |
| $3 / 21$ | variables [1] 43/12 <br> variance [31] 4/6 7/14 8/5 15/16 27/21 28/23 | wheeled [1] $15 / 1$ <br> when [27] $3 / 55 / 1316 / 1016 / 2116 / 2517 / 25$ |
| three-foot [3] 8/13 10/11 53/8 <br> through [6] 16/5 17/23 37/12 39/25 40/3 | 30/4 30/8 31/17 32/5 35/18 35/21 41/3 42/3 $42 / 443 / 23$ 44/23 45/5 45/23 46/5 46/6 49/16 | 18/4 18/8 19/4 19/22 20/7 22/12 23/19 27/13 <br> 28/13 31/6 31/22 32/9 38/11 39/9 39/14 39/21 |
| 6/24 | 49/22 52/23 52/24 53/7 53/18 53/19 53/23 | 43/22 44/13 44/23 55/22 56/1 |
| $\text { ks [1] } 13 / 2$ | 55/12 56/1 | where [14] 13/25 14/14 15/13 16/4 16/9 18/25 |
| d [1] 3/19 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { verbal [3] } & 25 / 3 \\ \text { verdict [1] } & 47 / 21 \\ \text { (10/19 } \end{array}$ | 20/2 23/21 27/4 27/13 28/8 32/11 39/10 56/ <br> WHEREOF [1] 59/8 |
| tightknit [1] $55 / 20$ time [17] $8 / 48 / 17$ | verify [1] 33/14 | which [25] 4/5 4/8 4/16 4/21 5/1 5/19 6/2 |
| 29/10 29/15 29/21 31/11 35/2 41/7 41/19 47/5 | very [22] |  |
| /16 49/20 58 | 15/19 15/21 23/17 26/15 27/3 34/6 36/3 38/2 | 8/7 31/19 34/9 37/13 46/4 52/9 |
| les [3] 12/9 12/10 38/21 | 40/7 44/5 52/2 52/7 55/13 55/19 56/22 via [1] 1/21 | while [5] 17/4 18/15 34/16 35/7 45/4 |
| today [14] 4/5 6/7 8/4 8/7 9/10 10/16 10/19 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { viall [2] } \\ \text { view [2/ } & 17 / 12\end{array}$ | who [9] 4/12 5/5 8/7 20/17 22/15 25/10 26/17 |
|  | VILLAGE [26] 1/1 $1 / 21$ 3/20 4/18 5/9 5/ 13/3 22/18 24/23 26/19 28/17 28/22 29/5 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} 38 / 444 / 1 \\ \text { whole [3] } 26 / 134 \end{array}$ |
|  | 29/13 29/19 29/23 30/10 30/15 30/16 30/21 | why [14] 7/8 7/13 9/10 11/25 21/19 27/20 |
| told [1] 42/19 | 30/22 31/1 32/25 34/25 50/23 55/23 | 30/22 33/7 34/11 34/22 39/6 45/3 46/6 46/8 |
| Tony [1] 11/11 | villain [1] 57/17 | wide [2] 30/10 31/1 |
|  | violation [3] 28/22 29/10 29/18 | width [1] 4/15 |
| Tony [1] 11/11 <br> too [4] 16/7 18/4 34/21 57/22 | virtually [1] 10/3 | wife [3] 26/11 |
| totally [1] 29/5 | visit [1] 28/14 | fe's [3] 26/6 26 |
| towards [4] 18/2 18/12 56/19 57/10town [2] 20/121/12 | vote [9] 48/18 49/7 49/9 50/6 54/23 56/9 56/15 | wildest [1] 41/17 |
|  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c\|c} 54 / 23 & 56 / 9 \\ \text { voted [1] } 56 / 5 \end{array}\right.$ | 2/25 47/22 48/20 51/17 52/20 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { traditional [2] } & 9 / 19 \\ \text { trancript [1] }\end{array}$ | votes [1] 56/1 | 53/25 |
| $\text { tremendously [1] } 43 / 25$ | W |  |
|  | waited [1] 43/2 waive [1] $5 / 21$ | wise [1] 10/22 withdrawing [1] 33/15 |
| trouble [1] 26/21 | walk [15] 4/2 4/2 4/9 11/12 12/2 13/10 13/12 13/18 15/9 26/14 27/9 30/6 34/22 36/11 37/3 | within [7] $23 / 2325 / 1429 / 5$ $55 / 17$ |
| try [9] 16/10 18/21 20/5 21/11 21/14 25/24 | walked [1] 57/16 <br> wall [4] 19/6 19/8 19/9 19/25 | without [9] 13/25 14/21 15/16 21/9 46/20 54/6 56/1 56/5 56/19 |
|  |  | WITNESS [1] 59 |
| tucked [1] 16/14 |  | Wolkoff [1] 40/15 |
| Twenty [1] 16/17 | 22/20 22/21 24/11 25/6 25/15 26/1 26/5 26/23 | won't [3] 29/10 44/7 44 |
|  | 26/24 29/3 30/15 32/13 32/23 33/16 | Wonderful [1] 3/23 |
| two [9] 11/6 11/13 12/7 17/21 27/17 39/14 | 34/21 38/21 40/4 43/7 43/12 44/16 45/12 47/6 | wood [1] 19/8 |
| two feet [1] 12/7 | 47/10 49/18 49/21 49/24 50/10 55/2 | word [3] 38/20 |
| two-foot [1] 11/13 | wanted [8] 9/2 14/4 16/12 36/18 38/17 41 | work [14] $6 / 21$ 6/24 20/1 20/5 22/9 35/7 3 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tyler [1] } 36 / 10 \\ & \text { type [3] } 19 / 2422 / 2456 / 6 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { wants [2] } 17 / 15$ | orks [1] 45/7 |
|  | warranted [1] 56/13 | worried [1] 40/11 |
| U |  | worse [1] 40/3 |
| uh [2] $11 / 18$ $11 / 18$ <br> um [2 $45 / 8$ $49 / 20$ <br> unbuilt [1] $44 / 12$  <br> uncomfortable [6] $22 / 5$ $35 / 23$ <br> $38 / 13$ $55 / 13$  $38 / 10$ | wasn't [3] | uld [54] 6/8 7/19 11/6 17/11 18/9 19/24 |
|  | wasn't [3] 9 way [9] $7 / 16$ | 21/20 21/24 |
|  | 49/17 50/652/13 | 2 |
|  | we [115] | 31/10 34 |
| under [5] 4/18 20/7 29/1 39/10 41/4 understand [13] 19/11 19/18 34/5 40/10 | we'd [2] 24/12 49/16 | 38/5 39/1 39/7 39/12 41/20 41/22 42/3 44/1 |
|  | we'll [4] 25/5 31/24 47/7 51/19 | 45/15 45/18 46/10 49/10 49/10 52/22 |
|  | we're [21] 4/5 8/12 9/10 9/11 13/4 18/8 18/15 | 53/9 54/17 |
| 40/11 40/18 42/13 44/9 44/17 44/21 45/5 <br> 46/17 56/7 | 22/8 23/7 23/8 26/15 27/3 27/13 40/3 44/5 $44 / 1646 / 546 / 1149 / 1849 / 1950 / 6$ | wouldn't [4] 23/5 39/8 39/24 wound [1] 8/17 |
| ```unfortunately [2] 9/6 22/2 unhappy [1] 44/5 uniformly [1] 30/25 United [2] 5/17 5/23 unity [1] 57/12 unless [2] 17/13 33/5 unreasonable [2] 15/15 16/3 unseen [1] 10/3 until [1] 49/21 up [18] 8/2 17/15 18/15 19/5 19/7 22/21 23/8``` | we've [2] 37/9 56/4 website [3] 32/9 32/16 32/20 | wrong [4] 8/21 15/17 15/20 19/19 <br> wrote [1] 28/16 |
|  | w | Y |
|  | welcome [3] $36 / 13$ 37/23 43/19 |  |
|  | well [10] 5/6 6/12 $27 / 134 / 336 / 1137 / 5$ 39/23 $54 / 1556 / 13$ $51 / 14$ |  |
|  | went [9] 4/1 17/7 17/23 28/14 28/19 29/8 | 47/10 51/21 |
|  | 39/24 45/2 47/ | year [4] 18/24 36/18 38/15 39/20 |
|  |  | years [11] $11 / 11 \quad 18 / 25$ 23/18 $26 / 15$ 35/1 $36 / 12$ $38 / 740 / 1756 / 456 / 23$ 56/25 |
|  | west [2] 2/4 33/19 | yes [23] $3 / 22$ 6/11 $6 / 1910 / 610 / 911 / 111 / 18$ |
|  | what [46] 6/1 7/ | 17/10 20/14 20/15 20/24 23/9 25/17 28/21 |
|  | 13/19 14/8 16/17 17/1 19/11 19/14 19/14 22/4 | 29/17 32/16 35/14 38/5 45/10 48/11 48/14 |
|  | 28/23 31/20 $33 / 1$ | 49/2 51/20 |
|  | 37/4 37/6 37 | yet [2] 36/15 37/8 |
|  | 43/8 43/9 44/14 45/5 45/15 45/20 49/9 49/12 | YORK [9] 1/2 1/10 2/4 4/10 37/1 41/2 49/22 |

```
Y
YORK... [2] 52/11 59/5
you [177]
you'd [2] 16/15 49/21
you'll [1] 15/12
you're [16] 7/8 13/18 14/2 15/20 19/14 19/14
24/7 32/21 33/19 37/5 37/6 38/18 43/19 49/12
57/18 57/20
you've[2] 19/8 37/17
your [29] 3/5 8/12 16/24 20/20 26/9 29/1 29/5
31/11 32/3 34/5 34/7 34/13 35/15 37/19 39/16
40/13 41/25 41/25 47/1 47/4 47/5 47/7 49/23
52/3 54/18 56/15 56/18 57/16 57/20
yourself [3] 8/8 26/8 40/14
youth [1] 26/17
Z
zoning [7] \(1 / 43 / 3\) 12/20 13/17 34/20 35/4
52/12
Zoom [5] 1/21 3/19 47/8 47/14 48/4
```

