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CHAIRMAN BURRIS: It is Saturday, June the 3rd, at approximately 11:09, and I would like to call to order the meeting of the Village of Ocean Beach Zoning Board of Appeals.

Do we have a quorum present, Clerk?
MR. GRAY: I can take the poll.
Constantine Karalis?
MR. KARALIS: Here.
MR. GRAY: Kevin Lowry?
MEMBER LOWRY: Present.
MR. GRAY: Chairman Burris?
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Present.
MR. GRAY: We have three members. We have a quorum.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Is Jeremy Conway on the phone?

MR. ARMENT: There's no one on Zoom right now.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Should we call him? He was planning on being here.

MR. GRAY: The meeting should have started a half hour ago.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Okay. Let me see if I can get him on the phone. We don't need him.
(Reading from his phone screen.)
"Zoom meeting is invalid. I think you have a quorum and you can continue without me. Zoom says the meeting does not exist."

MR. ARMENT: It's the same one as all the Village Board meetings.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: "Feel free to call me if you need me."

I call the meeting to order. Today's meeting is to review Variance Application Number BP2022-057. An application submitted by Kurt Bohlsen, I hope I'm pronouncing the name correctly, with regard to 74-76 Bungalow Walk, Ocean Beach, New York. And it's regarding several different code areas, 164-8 conformity required, 164-22 living area requirements, 164-24 front yard requirements, and it involves the lifting of a home and reconfiguration of portions of the home.

We will have the applicant and/or his agents go into detail as to what they're exactly proposing. Before this meeting, we did have a site visit where the members of the Board of Appeals visited the site to get a better understanding as to what is being requested.

We have before us plans, as well as plans
that were submitted to the DEC for DEC approval by the DEC of New York State and we have some correspondence and other matters, which we will read into the record and make note of.

MR. GRAY: Mr. Chairman, if I may, you had mentioned that there was a site visit. At that site visit, I want to indicate that the applicant was present. His architect was present. His Counsel was present, and I also want to state that there were no deliberations amongst the Board to take any action during that site visit, correct?

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Correct. And at on point, one of the neighbors was present because to understand the site impact, we went on a neighbor's deck, actually, at the suggestion of the applicant's architect and was consented to by everybody. That's correct and I thank for that clarification and welcome any more during the rest of the hearing.

What I could do is start by reading in the letters that we've received and some consents, but I think what makes more sense is this is a very complex matter and what I'd like to do is speak with Counsel regarding potential legal
issues and legal matters. So in order to do that under the open meetings law, I believe that I have to have an executive session to discuss potential legal issues. So what I would like to do is call an executive session. Which means that all of the public members would be excused and I apologize for doing it at this state, but I think it's appropriate and we'll try to keep the executive session short and I hope that members of the public can find some place warm and please not disperse because we want to go back into the public hearing.

So I make a motion now to go into executive session.

MR. GRAY: For the purposes of getting legal advice.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: For the purpose of legal advice, which means, Ken, you are staying and consulting with us. Do I have a second?

MEMBER LOWRY: Second.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Anybody opposed?
Constantine?
MEMBER KARALIS: No.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: You're in favor? So if everybody can please step out.

MEMBER LOWRY: Wait, wait, wait. Don't leave. Don't leave. We can use the office.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Oh, we can use the office?

MEMBER LOWRY: Bunny says the office is available.
(Executive session commenced.)
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Okay. I make a motion that we come out of executive session and proceed with the hearing. I see there are a good number of members of the public here today, and I thank you for your attendance and your patience.

I guess what $I$ should do is begin with the applicant or his agent making a brief statement as to what the intention is and the plans are. And, Ken, you're holding the papers. You want me to read through some of the letters first?

MR. GRAY: I mean, we have them. They're in evidence. They're part of the record. I don't know if you want to read them.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I'd rather not have to. There is a complete set of plans in the record. There are letters from one neighbor and a letter from the United States Department of the

Interior, which is par for the course, commenting on the property and basically saying that they don't waive their right of condemnation of the property. And that's standard every time someone does some work on properties in New York. I don't see a date on this letter. Yes, it's dated $11 / 8 / 22$, signed by the Superintendent of the United States Department of the Interior. I assume it's the superintendent for this area, Lucy Grumarrow (phonetic).

There is a denial letter written by the Building Inspector for the Village of Ocean Beach, which is a denial of the permit requested. That was dated September 1st, 2022.

I have a copy of two stop work orders dated August 14, 2020, and another one dated February 8, 2010. That was when a prior owner owned the property. I don't even know the details of what they were for, but, obviously, they were lifted and the work continued, I suppose.

There is the application submitted by Glenn Graham on behalf of the applicant. Actually, Glenn Graham is listed here as the applicant.

And I don't know whether I mentioned the letter from the Department of the Interior. Does somebody have a copy?

MR. GRAY: The DEC permit?
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: The DEC permit from New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, dated May 11, 2022, addressed to Bungalow Beach Hut, LLC, care of Kurt Bohlsen. I suppose that means that the property is in the name of Bungalow Beach Hut, LLC, and Kurt is probably the owner of the LLC. I see a nod of acknowledgment of that.

The effective date of the permit is 5/11/2022 with an expiration date of 5/10/2027 signed by Sherry Archer (phonetic), 5/11/2022 and lists the issues of the permit and then, of course, we have the plans that were submitted to the DEC attached to the letter.

That being said, what $I$ would like to do is have the applicant and/or his agent make a brief presentation as to what they're proposing and maybe they can go into detail regarding the ground floor, which I know the public is upset about. The rumor going around is that this was going to be a three-story house being elevated,
and my understanding is that's not the case but the applicant would be willing to make a quick presentation?

MR. BERTOLINO: Yes, we can.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: State your name and your position.

MR. BERTOLINO: Yes, good morning, Mr. Chairman and members of the Board. For the applicant, Steve Bertolino, 130 West Main Street, East Islip, New York.

Mr. Chairman, as you just stated, the structure, the dwelling structure that currently exists does have three stories. There's a small lower level with a bath, a bedroom, some storage room, and the utility room is down there. That is being removed as part of this application, just to be clear.

We're looking to raise the house up to current FEMA levels so the entire structure will come up about 18 inches to two feet, depending on your perspective. We have currently, as exists, a nonconforming structure that's 58.7 FAR or floor area ratio coverage. Village Code only allows 45 percent. As proposed, we are looking to go to 54.48 percent on the FAR. That
would be a reduction of around four percent in the FAR.

The applicant is Kurt Bohlsen from Bungalow Beach, LLC. Those who may know the Bohlsen name know that the Bohlsen Restaurant Group owns lots of fine restaurants here on Long Island. They maintain them in great fashion, and Kurt is looking forward to bringing his house up to those standards, which he hasn't been able to do since he bought it, and I know that's one of the complaints people have.

Kurt is also looking to purchase the delivery lane and by purchasing the delivery lane from the Village, we expect the proposed FAR from 54.48 to go down even further to about 52 percent.

So, again, the Village Code allows for 45 percent. That is one of the variances before this Board. So we're going from an existing nonconforming 58.7 down to about 52 percent with the purchase of the delivery lane. If this Board saw fit to grant the application, I would certainly agree that there would be a condition that the delivery lane purchase be consummated. The second part of the hearing has to do
with a bathroom. The Village Code only allows three bathrooms and currently, as exists, there are four. We've submitted the plans with four bathrooms being, I should say, one being relocated from the basement level or lower level up to the mid level and then two on the second floor. So again, in short, we're looking to get rid of the lower level, have two stories, raise the house up to FEMA elevation, maintain four bathrooms as they currently exist -CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Counsel, may I correct you? Is it not three and a half bathrooms that you're looking to maintain? MR. BERTOLINO: Three and a half bathrooms that we're going to be maintaining, yes. I don't think the, technically, I don't know if the Village Code parses out three and a half. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: They do not. MR. BERTOLINO: But to be clear, it will be three full bathrooms, meaning shower facilities and one extra half bath, which just means a commode and a sink. So three and a half is the common parlance that people can relate to. Lastly, the call of the meeting for what
the Board has in front of it would be the owner is proposing to maintain the 11 -foot setback where Village Code requires 14 feet, (inaudible). That being said, the Village Code does allow that a preexisting, nonconforming structure can stay in its same location as part of the modification. Only those parts of the modification that are new are required to conform to the Village Code in those respects. Because we're not technically changing the first-floor footprint, we are not moving any closer than what already exists. So our interpretation of what is in front of this Board today, again, is the FAR, floor area ratio. We have the bathrooms, and we have the front yard setback. That's it in a nutshell. I do have more but if the Board wants to go back and speak, you asked for a short statement. There it is.

MEMBER LOWRY: Don't go away. I have a question on the second-floor bedroom that's coming out four feet. Is that four feet footage, that's coming out four feet to the east. Is that satisfying the 14 -foot setback? MR. BERTOLINO: Yes, it does.

MEMBER KARALIS: Excuse me, 22.
MEMBER LOWRY: I'm sorry, 22.
MR. BERTOLINO: We exceed that setback. We meet the code there, I should say. The first floor, I'll say, juts out to the east to the 11-foot as it exists. The second floor is stepped inward, if you will.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Since we don't have a model of the home, determining something as complex as this, it would have been very helpful. Let me clarify and make sure that we're in agreement as to what the house will be like.

You're proposing to remove the lower level, which I'll call the basement level. That will be completely eliminated. The house will be lifted to FEMA level from the floorboards of the joists of what now appears to be a second floor or main floor above the basement level. So the house would be elevated from that to FEMA height. You will then have a two-story house, not a three-story house, not a basement. There will be two stories and then a roof deck on top of the two stories, similar to the roof deck that's there now but moved in location closer to
the ocean further way from the neighbor. Is that accurate?

MR. BERTOLINO: Mr. Chairman, that is a good recitation of what's happening. I didn't delve into that because you initially said a short statement, but, yes, that's exactly what's happening. Two-story house, maintaining the roof deck at its current level. I should say, we're not stepping it up higher than that. The whole house will be raised two feet, so it will become two feet higher but you won't be on the roof and then climbing up higher than that. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Would you please restate or repeat one thing? Two stories without a basement? MR. BERTOLINO: That's correct, two stories with no basement. Then the roof deck, yes, one of the neighbors to the north, who I believe is present here today, had requested that if we are modifying the second floor, we now have the ability to move that roof deck to the south as we reconstruct it and we will be doing that and what that will do, it will take the roof deck further from the adjoining property owner to the north. That's an
accommodation that we're making gladly so. MR. GRAY: The modification we just discussed, is that on the current plans? MR. BERTOLINO: Yes, it is. MR. GRAY: Thank you. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: The other modification that we discussed at the site visit at the request of one of neighbors across Bungalow Walk was that there's an extension for the upstairs master bedroom coming out four feet and you're taking out what's now an angle on the roof and squaring it off. You would still pull it out four feet but you would cut the corner back two feet. I'm looking at the architect. Am I saying that correctly? MR. GRAHAM: Yes. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: You want to say it in architect's terms?

MR. GRAHAM: We would chamfer the corner two feet to not obstruct the neighbor's view. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: What does chamfer mean? MR. GRAHAM: Just knocking off a corner. MR. BERTOLINO: Turn around behind you. You can see how this structure is kind of octagon in shape. It's angling or catty
cornering the corner of the structure.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: This is the request that you're making to the plans, if we vote on this today which we hope to do, that you'll agree to make that change in the actual plans. Along with the change that there will not be stairs going from what's the first floor, or the only floor of the house going down to what would be the pilings on the ground floor. There will not be a stairway. The stairway will not be there.

MR. BERTOLINO: Yes, that is correct.
When we eliminate that lower level basement, that interior staircase that continues from the third floor, main floor down to the basement is now gone. As Mr. Lowery had asked before about that four-foot, just to be clear, the bedroom you're talking about chamfering the corner, that is the same structure. That same area. So we still meet the Village Code setback even with the squared off corner, but as an accommodation to the neighbor as requested, you know, about an hour ago in the field, we hope that would be a good compromise that the Board saw fit, if it were to grant the application,
there would be a contingency as well that we would modify the plan to kind of emulate what's there now, which also has an angulated corner on that room.

MEMBER LOWRY: Okay. We still have to hear from the neighbors on this issue.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: We have to open the meeting up to public comments. Is there anything else that the applicant would like to add now?

MR. BERTOLINO: I have a very long winded, how should I say it? A legal argument to put on the record. I don't know if that's necessary at this point in time, but I would like to reserve my right to do so later just so we have a record on the applicant's side.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Noted.
MR. BERTOLINO: Thank you.
MEMBER LOWRY: Just so the public is aware and I don't want to confuse you, there's not going to be a basement. However, the Village Code requires that the underpart of the house be skirted and that's an aesthetic issue. So there will be skirting on that underpart of the house in compliance with the code as set forth.

MR. BERTOLINO: Yes, but right now there exists living space. The bathroom, bedrooms, and utility, that's all going. The skirting will exist as per the code, but that basement level will be gone.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: There will be no stairs going to that lower level. So the only way someone could access that is to walk under the house from outside.

MR. BERTOLINO: Yes. You would have to come out the main door facing Bungalow on the east side. You have to walk down the walk around the house, just like everyone else does to access, you know, storage and whatever else you have down there.

MEMBER KARALIS: I would like to say also and remind that the code calls for sprinklering and also allows for storage in that space under the house. Storage and parking, I don't think anyone will be parking there, but storage will be allowed but the house would have to be sprinklered.

MR. BERTOLINO: That's my understanding as well, but I would defer to the architect on that.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: The architect is nodding. He understands. It has to be sprinklered, you say?

MEMBER LOWRY: That's not our call.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: That's not our concern. That's not before us today.

Okay. And you reserved your right to make a further comment.

MR. BERTOLINO: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I'd like to now open the meeting to the public. I see a lot of neighbors here, and I appreciate your coming. This is a little bit unusual in the sense that the DEC permit was already obtained before our viewing it, which makes it a little bit easier for us, to be honest, because it's usually not easy to get something from the State. So I'm opening the floor up for any comments or question from any neighbors. Is there anybody who'd like to speak?

MR. POLLACK: I'm Mitch Pollack. I have a question about how that nonconforming lower level is going to disappear as the lawyer said. What does that mean?

MR. GRAY: My understanding is that
they're going to remove, in the lower level right now, it's living space. There's a bathroom, bedroom, that's all going to be removed.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: My understanding is more than that. There's a bedroom and a bathroom. That whole level is gone. The elevation of the house is from the floorboards of the floor above and there will be no living space, no accessible space from the house. I suppose there won't be a floor down there, other than where you might store some bicycles; am I correct on that?

MR. BERTOLINO: That is correct, Mr. Chairman.

MR. POLLACK: When you say it's gone, what does that mean?

MR. BERTOLINO: That means it no longer exists. If this Board feels this application is worthy of being granted and we get the building permit from the Village, when we raise the house, I'm sure you've seen houses raised throughout the Village, when we raise the house up to meet the new FEMA level, that whole lower level that we're referring to as a basement is
being demolished and not being replaced.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: In fact, because that's being demolished and not being replaced, and please correct me if I'm wrong, the house, actually, the height of the house will actually be approximately two feet higher than the height it's at now because that basement level will be the level underneath the main floor. Am I accurate on that?

MR. GRAHAM: That is correct. Unlike most houses we've been doing in the Village, the average goes up about five feet. This house was already very close to FEMA, so overall, it's only going up two feet.

MR. BERTOLINO: And that two feet that we raise it up, Mr. Chairman, will keep us within the height of the Village Code. To be clear, we are not asking for a variance on height of the top of the structure.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Your question is a very good one because I know that I have been approached by neighbors saying, wait a minute, this is going to be a three-story house, et cetera, and from what I'm seeing on the plans and from what's being told to me by the
applicant and their agents that's not the case. It is gone, the lower level. So if you're concerned about your view being obstructed because there's going to be a house eight feet higher, that's not the case.

MR. GRAHAM: Correct.
MR. POLLACK: Okay. Well, I just want to say that the work as contemplated is going to affect my view, even if it's only two feet higher. If he moves that upstairs bedroom closer to the walk, I will be suffering diminishment in my view.

MR. GRAY: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you.
MR. GRAY: Any other members of the public want to speak?

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: We're aware and we went up to your roof with you today, which we thank you for granting us access and one of the things that the applicant has agreed to do, informally at this point, is modify their plans so it won't be a 90 degree angled corner to increase the diminishment of your view to an extent. Okay? Thank you.

Yes?

MR. SCHARF: Good morning. My name is Dave Scharf. I live at 72 Bungalow Walk. I'm the neighbor immediately north to the house that's in discussion today. I'm in support of the application for a number of reasons. First, as far as the setback from the street and maintaining that setback, Mitch spoke about the view, so by keeping the house the same distance from the sidewalk it is, that will preserve my view from the back of my house, the ocean view. I will lose some view from my roof deck, but if he were to say I'll get rid of the lower level and I don't want to add the square footage back, I just want to raise it to FEMA level, I'll lose that view anyway. Personally, I don't think it's unreasonable for him to want to raise his house to a safer flood level and as much as I like my view, I don't own that view. I realize $I$ have to give up a little bit of that, but I appreciate the consideration he's made to try to preserve the rest of my view. By number one, not moving the house back, which will destroy the view from the back of my house and my neighbors.

Number two, he moved his roof top deck
closer to the ocean.
And number three, he agreed to put a cable railing or glass railing, which, again, will allow me to see through that elevated structure. So I appreciate those accommodations and those are graciously made.

The house is obviously an eyesore now and anything he does will be an improvement and I know he's wanted to do that for a while. As his neighbor, although I give up some of my view, I'll appreciate living next to a really beautiful house, which will ultimately increase the value of my house. So I'm completely in favor of the application. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you.
Anybody else would like to make a comment?
MR. LIPSKI: Dave Lipski. I'm not a neighbor. I'm on 226 Wilmot. I'm just asking whether the architectural plans are unchanged from 5/11/22? You mentioned the date, which is the date of the DEC permit.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: The plans we're talking about are going to change a little bit but not substantially that the architect has to redraft the plans. Whether or not that means he has to
go to the DEC and submit the modified plans, I don't know. Looking at the DEC application, it was pretty clear that it was the footprint that they were accepting and, therefore, the footprint didn't change, I think the same consent, but I'm not --

MR. LIPSKI: I don't see that on the permit. The permit essentially says, "raise and renovate existing residence and decks. Construct new spiral staircase. Revegetate area in conformance with the attached plans." Stamped as DEC approved on 5/11/2022.

MR. GRAY: The plans that we have are dated April 7, 2021, and those are the ones I believe submitted to the DEC. But now based upon if the applicant is agreeing to those two modifications that was discussed, he'll have to modify plans and submit plans that will have to be approved by the Building Department to determine that they're consistent with whatever conditions are going to be imposed here.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: In other words, they may have to resubmit plans to the DEC --

MR. BERTOLINO: If I may, my understanding is DEC goes by footprint and the lot coverage.

They're not looking for the angle and design. I don't believe we'll have to resubmit to DEC. I just want to be clear on that.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: When we vote on this, our vote is going to be subject to approval of the DEC, whatever DEC requires. We can't supercede DEC requirements, and it wouldn't have been strange to me if they had come to us and then gone to the DEC, but I'm glad they did it this way. So they're going to have to make sure that they comply with DEC.

MR. LIPSKI: The second question --
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Let me ask Counsel; am I correct?

MR. GRAY: Yeah.
MR. LIPSKI: The plans that I saw look like they were putting in pavers on the setback, and I think since they're buying the delivery lane maybe there will be more pavers. That's not revegetating the landscape. In fact, that's sort of not helpful for dune control. I think they need to put vegetation in on the existing land.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I believe they have a vegetation plan --

MR. GRAHAM: If I may, we are removing a large deck on the west side of the lot that is going to be fully revegetated. I think you were walking on it with us this morning. So yeah, the overall lot coverage was also reduced to comply with the request of the DEC. The DEC is trying to get us to mitigate any impact on the dune. If we could move the house further north, they would have made us do that, but we are removing a deck that you cannot see from the street. That's on the west side, if I had to guess, about maybe 300 square feet and that will all be revegetated. The pavers that you suggest are just getting entrance to that storage level.

MEMBER KARALIS: The code provides for four-foot wide passageway pavers in the setback, so in this case it shows that the width of the pavers as shown on the site plan is eight feet. The question is whether we should approve a four-foot passageway and then outside excluding the eight feet of the setback or approve the four feet inside the setback and then another four feet on the setback side. Let me show you.
(Board members hold inaudible discussion.)
MR. GRAY: Gentlemen, if I may, I don't believe that survey includes the four feet of the delivery lane that he's contemplating purchasing.
(Further discussion among Board members.)
BUILDING INSPECTOR MANDARINO: I would never approve an eight-foot paver walkway. Those plans weren't approved for that purpose. That's not the code. There's a formula for pavers in the setbacks which hasn't been even addressed yet.

MR. LIPSKI: One last question. I recognize that this is a substantial improvement over what exists right now. But at what point, it also seems like it's a substantial renovation and I thought under our code a substantial renovation requires achieving the FAR that you were supposed to have achieved in the past. So I recognize that they are reducing the floor to area ratio with the current project, but could they go further and actually achieve the FAR ratio by maybe not putting in a hot tub or whatever?

MEMBER LOWRY: Let the Building Inspector
respond to that.
BUILDING INSPECTOR MANDARINO: Any reference to substantial renovations does not trigger back to a 45 percent FAR. What a substantial renovation triggers in our code is relative to fire safety and second story egress. So there's nothing about decreasing the FAR because of substantial renovation. If you have a nonconforming FAR and you don't approve it, you're allowed to keep it. In this case they're decreasing it. So there's nothing -- what you're saying is it's not in our code.

MR. LIPSKI: That's why I am questioning it.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you very much. Anybody else from the public? MR. VIRGA: I'm Matt Virga, 70 Bungalow, so two north of the applicant and my wife, Michell.

I'm not an architect, so I'm not exactly sure how to read the plans but I'm curious if there's any part of the second floor that pushes to the west?

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: That's a very good
point. Pushes to the west?
MR. VIRGA: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: No.
MR. GRAHAM: I can answer that. The only
thing pushing to the west is the required egress staircase that the Village requires.

MR. VIRGA: Down low?
MR. GRAHAM: It's a staircase from the roof deck down to grade --

BUILDING INSPECTOR MANDARINO: The southwest corner.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: You're talking about west being closer to your house. MR. VIRGA: Not exactly. West being, spoiling the limited view that $I$ have that's between David's house back here to the ocean.

MR. GRAHAM: The spiral staircase required by code, that's it. We're not putting anything further. In fact, there's a second floor balcony on that side that's coming off. MR. VIRGA: Is there any scenario where that could happen? CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Well, could happen being something built that's not approved in the plans?

MR. VIRGA: As of today, the way it looks, it's not going to push to the west. Is there any scenario where this gets rejected and then they come back and say we're going to put this thing much further.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: If this gets rejected, they would have to resubmit. MR. VIRGA: And then we go through the process again.

MR. GRAHAM: We're maintaining a 16.1
setback when only an eight-foot is required. So without a variance, we could go out another eight feet, but we have no intention of going further than that.

MR. VIRGA: I like that answer. I'm good with that answer.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: We went up to the roof. You may have seen us today looking at the house and we saw that the added increases, the modifications on the second floor, which would become the first floor, were basically pushing the house out towards the beach. It wasn't really visible. Now I didn't take into account that maybe you had views from the rear of your house.

MR. VIRGA: Yeah, I do. From our back deck looking towards the water.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I guess that would be the staircase --

MR. BERTOLINO: But that should actually improve when we take off the existing west side deck that's there.

MR. VIRGA: I saw that on the plans.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Is that an open
staircase? Is it open or is it closed?
MR. GRAHAM: We wanted to enclose it because the spiral staircase is not too pretty.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: What's the --
MR. GRAHAM: Five-foot.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: You make a five-foot wide staircase?

MR. GRAHAM: That's the minimum by code. Believe me, Article 6 that this Village adopted four years ago is costing every homeowner here sprinklers, skirting, and staircases to the tune of $\$ 100,000$.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: When you say five feet, does that mean ten feet in total?

MR. GRAHAM: No, no, five feet diameter.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: So it's two and a half
feet from the center pole.
MR. GRAHAM: That's the minimum required to meet code.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Two and a half feet on either side. Let me understand the geometry. (Board members hold inaudible discussion.)

MEMBER KARALIS: Mr. Chairman, I would like to --
(More Board member discussion.)
MR. VIRGA: No further questions, thank you.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Thank you very much.
MR. VIRGA: You have my support.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Is there anybody else from the public?

MR. POLLACK: One more question.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Yes.
MR. POLLACK: When you adjust the plan for chamfering the second floor bedroom, will that affect the roof deck?

MR. GRAHAM: No.
MR. POLLACK: The roof deck doesn't come out --

MR. GRAHAM: The roof deck should accommodate the (inaudible) to the neighbors to
the left. It won't impact your view. It's actually going to be (inaudible).

MR. POLLACK: Which direction?
MR. GRAHAM: Well, it's going to go slightly further south but not any further than that chamfer we talked about.

MR. POLLACK: So it will follow the edge of the --

MR. GRAHAM: Yeah, it will still be set back.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: The roof deck is the roof of the second story where it is now. The corner that you're talking about cutting off is the roof of the first story, correct?

MR. GRAHAM: Yeah, which is the second story.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Which is the?
MR. GRAHAM: It's the roof which creates the second story of the house, the master bedroom level.

MEMBER LOWRY: That is the second story. It's the same height as the roof deck, but the roof deck is not going to come to the east at all. It's just going to go south.

MR. GRAHAM: It will not be on that
portion of that chamfer.
MR. SCHARF: Can I ask just one more question? Where the mechanicals are going to be located? As it is now, the condenser units are on the roof. What is now the roof of the main floor of the house. They're not near our bedroom. Where are they going to be located after the reconstruction?

MR. GRAHAM: I had spoken to the Scharfs prior to the hearing to explain the project and we're going to do whatever we can to impact the noise from any of the mechanical spaces and also insulate them if we have to keep them on the roof so --

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: You're probably going to have increased air-conditioning system. Is there some way, I don't know that there is, where you could put the mechanicals under the house? I don't know what code is for that.

MR. GRAHAM: No, but we are making mechanical room inside. Just the condensers will be out. We're going to put screening and insulation on them so they're not noisy to anybody.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Is that additional area
that's not shown on the plan?
MR. GRAHAM: No, no, it wouldn't be enclosed. It's going to be shielded. We can't enclose it.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Where is that located?
MR. GRAHAM: We haven't finished that part of the mechanical design, but we're gonna make sure it's as far away from any neighbor as possible and shielded.

MR. BERTOLINO: And it will meet code; is that correct?

MR. GRAY: There was one more question. Gentleman in the back, did you have a question? I thought I saw your hand up.

MR. SCHARF: I'm here looking at it on the roof now, what's the roof of the first floor. Some of that space is going to be taken up by the expansion of the bedroom. That's why I wanted to know if those mechanicals can be located up in that area, away from our bedroom.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Will you agree to locate the mechanicals away from the northerly lot line and away from the easterly lot line to the extent possible?

MR. GRAHAM: Yes. We don't want to
interfere with neighbors in the west either. So we'll put them in a conforming location that meets code.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Well, conforming isn't the question. Will you agree to keep them away from the Bungalow Walk and from the northerly lot line?

MR. GRAHAM: Yes, we will.
MR. POLLACK: Do they have to be located on the roof?

MR. GRAHAM: No. We can hang them on the wall depending on the type of system, but I don't want to encroach on the setbacks and putting them on the roof, they would be shielded from your side anyway, hidden, because they will be behind the structure. If we put them on the side, they will be closer to the alternator, so it makes sense to keep them on the roof and just shield the noise.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: You're buying the delivery lane. MR. GRAHAM: Yeah. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: So if you're buying the delivery lane, you hang them from --

MR. GRAHAM: Doesn't change the setbacks.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Should they grab the one- foot variance and hang them on that side? Would that work for you? MR. GRAHAM: The only impacted neighbors are the Falcos (phonetic) and they're not here. They would be the most impacted. I think it makes sense to keep them on the flat portion of the roof and to clarify, the system will probably be smaller because we're decreasing the overall size of the house.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Are we talking about split units?

MR. GRAHAM: No, this is going to be a traditional ducted system. There will be two. It's going to be only half of the roof to maintain the second floor. The lower level will be at the first-floor level. We're not just putting two big units up on the roof. It's going to be half the house on the roof. CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I have to defer to the building inspector for this. BUILDING INSPECTOR MANDARINO: We'll make sure it's in the right place. We always find combinations that work. We haven't come upon an incident where we didn't.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: And you'll do your best to minimize the air-conditioning --

MR. GRAHAM: And I think today's systems are a lot better than ones from 1980.

BUILDING INSPECTOR MANDARINO: They're not that, we'll have them screened as much as we can.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I don't think it's the screening that Mitch is objecting to. I think it's the noise to be honest with you. I don't think Mitch has to worry as much as the Scharfs have to worry.

MR. GRAHAM: The screening would deflect the noise away now.

MR. POLLACK: Put them on the ground floor on pads.

MR. GRAHAM: That have to be above FEMA.
MR. POLLACK: Put them on, like, I have them on a table that's five feet high.

MR. GRAHAM: We're willing to accommodate everybody. If there's a place that conforms, away from it, keeping it off the roof, we'll do that.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: If you decide that you need it in a place where a variance would be
required, come back to us for it if it's best in that place. We'll work with you. We want to protect both the applicant and the neighbors.

MR. GRAHAM: We do have, there's 16 feet, sideways setback on the west side. That would be the furtherest away from all the neighbors, still conform, and I can put them in that space. No variance needed. Does that sound --

MR. GRAY: Any other questions from the public?

MR. GRAHAM: Southwest.
MR. POLLACK: Are you committed to do that today?

MR. GRAHAM: Yeah. We're here with you. We just have to make sure it can be done.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: We will add in the resolution that they'll minimize the rest of the neighbors with the relocation of the systems. They'll do their best to minimize.

With that being said, if there are no other comments from the floor, I would like to close the, not close the meeting, but close the public hearing. I would like to make a motion to vote on this matter.

The motion would be to approve the variance requested with the following conditions:

Number one, that the bathrooms in the new structure be limited to three and one-half bathrooms, being defined as a full bathroom, which includes a commode, sinks, and a shower/tub facility and a half bath being limited to a commode and a sink. So we would have three and one-half bathrooms approved in the structure as indicated on the plans, not four, which wasn't indicated on the plans anyway.

Next is that there be no access stairway, internal access from the first floor of the house to the area below the house, which is where the pilings go into the sand. That area may be skirted but will not have direct access via a stairway or other mechanism from the first floor of the house.

Third, that the plans be modified so as to cut a corner off of the --

MR. GRAY: East side second-floor bedroom.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: The extension of the east side second-floor bedroom; is that correct?

MR. BERTOLINO: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Okay. And that will be at least a two-foot -- what was the term you used?

MR. GRAHAM: Chamfer.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Chamfer.
Next is that the ground floor, the sand level will be left sand, will not have a floor built on it with the exception of perhaps a platform for bicycles. Okay.

Next is that the applicant agrees to use their reasonable best efforts, I use the words "best efforts" so Steve can light up his ears. To place any mechanical systems in a manner that will cause the least reasonable interference to the neighbors and will work with the building inspector to come up with a suitable location and solution for placement of the mechanical systems. Is that --

MEMBER KARALIS: One more thing. They presently show an eight-foot wide -(Board members hold inaudible discussion.)

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Okay. And that although the plans indicate pavers from Bungalow Walk heading, I guess it's east.

MR. GRAY: West.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Heading west of being eight feet wide that the pavers will not exceed four feet in width and will be located abutting the house side rather than the property line side.

MEMBER KARALIS: Maintaining the eight-foot setback.

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Well, the eight-foot setback is the house. That there be no more than four feet in width, the walk paver way. Okay.

Although it's not an artfully designed motion, I would like to make that motion.

MR. GRAY: Do you want to make a condition that they purchase the delivery lane?

CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I thought that was done. CLERK ADRION: It is.

MR. GRAY: They purchased it already?
CLERK ADRION: They did it already.
MR. GRAY: Okay. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Can we vote upon that motion?

MEMBER LOWRY: I second it.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: There's a second on the
motion.
MR. GRAY: All in favor?

ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Motion approved.
Variance granted with conditions specified, which all have been agreed to, and I believe worked out and agreed to with the consent and approval of the applicant, his Counsel, and the neighbors.

MR. GRAY: Motion to close the meeting.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: Motion to close the meeting.

MEMBER LOWRY: Second.
MR. GRAY: All in favor?
ALL MEMBERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN BURRIS: I want to thank everybody for coming and working out things so that everybody is satisfied. (Time Noted: 12:14 p.m.)
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| A | C | consistent [1] 26/20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| atten | cable [1] 25/2 | CONSTANTINE [3] 1/17 3/7 6/22 |
| ATTORNEY [2] 1/18 2/3 | call [8] 3/3 $3 / 19$ 4/6 4/8 $6 / 5 \quad 12 / 25 \quad 14 / 15 \quad 20 / 4$ calls [1] 19/17 | $\begin{array}{ll} \text { Construct [1] } & 26 / 10 \\ \text { consulting [1] } & 6 / 19 \end{array}$ |
| August [1] 8/17 |  |  |
| August 14 [1] 8/17 | can [22] $3 / 63 / 244 / 26 / 106 / 257 / 27 / 3$ 9/22 | consummated [1] 11/24 |
| available [1] 7/6 | $10 / 412 / 23 ~ 13 / 6 ~ 16 / 24 ~ 31 / 4 ~ 36 / 2 ~ 36 / 11 ~ 37 / 19 ~$$38 / 1140 / 741 / 841 / 1643 / 1344 / 22$ | contemplated [1] 23/8 |
| average [1] 22/12 |  | contemplating [1] contingency [1] coll |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { aware [2] } \\ & \text { away }\end{aligned} 18 / 19$ 23/17 | can't [2] 27/6 37/3 | contingency [1] 18/1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { away [9] } 13 / 2037 / 837 / 2037 / 2237 / 2338 / 5 \\ & 40 / 1440 / 22 \quad 41 / 7 \end{aligned}$ | cannot [1] 28/10 | continued [1] 8/21 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 40 / 1440 / 2241 / 7 \\ & \text { Aye [2] } 45 / 345 / 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\text { case [5] } 10 / 1$ | continues [1] 17/14 |
|  | $\text { catty [1] } 16 / 25$ | $\begin{array}{\|lr} \text { control [1] } & 27 / 22 \\ \text { Conway [1] } & 3 / 15 \end{array}$ |
| B | $\text { cause [1] } 43 / 1$ |  |
| back [14] 6/12 13/17 16/14 24/10 24/14 24/22 | center [1] 34/1 | copy [2] 8/16 9/3 <br> corner [11] 16/14 16/19 16/22 17/1 17/18 |
| 24/23 30/4 31/16 32/4 33/1 35/10 37/13 41/1 | certainly [1] 11/23 | $\begin{array}{\|llllll} \text { corner [11] } & 16 / 14 & 16 / 19 & 16 / 22 & 17 / 1 & 17 / 18 \\ 17 / 21 & 18 / 4 & 23 / 22 & 31 / 11 & 35 / 13 & 42 / 21 \end{array}$ |
| balcony [1] 31/20 |  | cornering [1] 17/1 <br> correct [16] 5/12 5/13 5/18 12/11 15/16 17/12 |
|  |  |  |
| 15/17 17/13 17/15 18/21 19/4 21/25 22/7 |  |  |
| cally [2] |  |  |  |
| bath [3] 10/14 12/21 42 |  |  |  |
| bathroom [5] 12/1 19/2 $21 /$ | chamfering [2] | correctly [2] 4/12 16/15 <br> correspondence [1] 5/3 <br> costing [1] 33/19 |
| bathrooms [10] 12/2 12/4 12/10 12/12 12/14 | change [5] 17/5 17/6 25/23 26 | could [8] 5/21 19/8 28/8 29/22 31/22 31/23 |
| 12/20 13/15 42/3 42/5 42/9 | changing [1] 13/10 |  |
| be [91] | Chapman [3] <br> clarification [1] <br> clarify 5/19 $46 / 6$ $46 / 14$ <br> clarify $14 / 11$ $39 / 8$$\quad$Counsel [5] $5 / 9$ $5 / 25$ $12 / 11$ <br> course [2] $27 / 13$ $45 / 17$  |  |
| beach [9] 1/1 1/10 3/4 4/13 8/14 9/8 9/10 11/4 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| beautiful [1] 25/12 <br> because [13] 5/14 6/11 13/10 15/5 20/16 22/2 | ```Clerk [2] 2/12 3/5 climbing [1] 15/12 close [6] 22/13 41/22 41/23 41/23 45/10 45/11``` |  |
| 22/7 22/21 23/4 30/8 33/12 38/15 39/9 |  | coverage [3] 10/23 26/25 28/5 |
| become [2] 15/11 32/21 |  | curious [1] 30 |
| bedroom [14] 10/14 13/21 | closed [1] 33/10 |  |
| 21/6 23/10 34/19 35/20 36/7 37/18 37/20 | closer [6] 13/12 14/25 23/11 25/1 31/13 38/17 code [26] 4/14 10/23 11/17 12/1 $12 / 17$ 13/3 |  |
| 42/24 |  |  |
| bedrooms [1] 19/2 |  |  |
| been [7] 11/9 14/10 22/11 22/21 27/8 29/11 | $\begin{aligned} & 22 / 17 \text { 28/16 29/10 29/18 30/5 30/13 31/18 } \\ & 33 / 1734 / 336 / 1937 / 1038 / 3 \end{aligned}$ | D |
| 45/6 |  | ```date [5] 8/6 9/13 9/14 25/20 25/21 dated [6] 8/7 8/15 8/16 8/17 9/7 26/14 Dave [2] 24/2 25/17 David [2] 2/15 2/16 David's [1] 31/16 day [1] 46/11 DEC [22] 5/1 5/1 5/2 9/4 9/5 9/18 20/14 25/21``` |
| be | combinations [1] 39/24 |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| believe[8] $6 / 2$ 15/19 26/15 27/2 27/24 29/3 |  |  |
| 33/18 45/6 | comments [3] 18/8 20/18 41/22 | 26/1 26/2 26/12 26/15 26/23 26/25 27/2 27/6 27/6 27/7 27/9 27/11 28/6 |
| below [1] 42/ | committed [1] 41/13 | $\begin{array}{\|lllllll} \text { decide [1] } & 40 / 24 \\ \text { deck [20] } & 5 / 16 & 14 / 23 & 14 / 24 & 15 / 8 & 15 / 17 & 15 / 21 \end{array}$ |
| ertolino [2] $2 / 3$ | commited [1] $12 / 13$ |  |
| best [5] 40/1 41/1 41/20 43/12 43/1 | common [1] 12/23 | $\begin{array}{llllll} 15 / 24 & 24 / 12 & 24 / 25 & 28 / 2 & 28 / 10 & 31 / 9 \\ 33 / 2 & 33 / 7 \\ 34 / 20 & 34 / 22 & 34 / 24 & 35 / 11 & 35 / 22 & 35 / 23 \end{array}$ |
| etter [2] 4/23 40/4 | complaints [1] | decks [1] 26/9 <br> decreasing [3] 30/7 30/11 39/9 |
| between [1] 31/16 |  |  |
| bicycles [2] 21/12 | completely [2] 14/16 25/ | $\text { defer [2] } 19 / 2439 / 20$ |
| big [1] 39/18 | complex [2] 5/24 14/10 |  |
| bit [4] 20/13 20/15 24/19 25/23 | compliance [1] 18/25 | $\text { deflect [1] } 40 / 13$ |
| BOARD [18] 1/4 3/4 4/5 4/22 5/1110/8 11/19 | comply [2] 27/11 28/6 | degree [1] 23/22 |
| 11/22 13/1 13/13 13/17 17/24 21/19 29/1 29/6 | compromise [1] 17/24 |  |
| 34/6 34/9 43/22 | concern [1] 20/5 | $\begin{array}{\|lllll} \text { deliberations [1] } & 5 / 10 \\ \text { delivery }\left[\begin{array}{llllll} 9] & 11 / 13 & 11 / 13 & 11 / 21 & 11 / 24 & 27 / 19 \end{array}\right. \end{array}$ |
| Boat [1] 1/10 | concerned [1] 23/3 | 29/4 38/21 38/24 44/16 <br> delve [1] 15/5 |
| Bohlsen [5] 4/1119/8 11/3 11/4 11/5 | condemnation [1] 8 |  |
| oth [1] 41/3 | condenser [1] | demolished [2] 22/1 22/3 |
| bought [1] 11/10 | condensers [1] 36/21 |  |
| BP2022 [2] 1/6 4/1 | condition [2] 11/23 44 |  |
| BP2022-057 [2] 1/ | conditioning [2] 36/16 40/2 | Department [5] 7/25 8/9 9/2 9/6 26/19 <br> depending [2] 10/20 38/12 |
| brief [2] 7/15 9/20 | conditions [3] 26/21 42/2 45/5 | depending [2] 10/20 38/12 <br> design [2] 27/1 37/7 |
| Brightwaters [1] 2/7 | conform [2] 13/9 41/8 | designed [1] 44/13 |
| bringing [1] 11/8 | ance [1] 26/11 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { building [7] } 2 / 138 / 1321 / 2126 / 1929 / 2 \\ 39 / 2143 / 16 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { conforming [2] } & 38 / 2 & 38 / 4 \\ \text { conformity [1] } & 4 / 14\end{array}$ | destroy [1] detail [2] $4 / 20$ |
|  |  | details [1] 8/20 |
| ngalow [10] 4/12 9/8 9/10 11/3 16/8 19/11 | confuse [1] 18/20 | determine [1] 26/20determining [1] 14 |
| 24/2 30/18 38/6 43/24 | consent [2] 26/6 45/7 |  |
| Bunny [1] 7/5 | consented [1] 5/17 | diameter [1] 33/24 |
| BURRIS [2] 1/15 3/11 | consents [1] 5/22 | $\text { didn't [4] } 15 / 426 / 532 / 23 \quad 39 / 25$ |
| buying [3] 27/18 38/20 38/23 | Conservation [1] 9/7 consideration [1] 24/20 | different [1] 4/14 <br> diminishment [2] 23/12 23/23 |
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free [1] 4/6
front [4] 4/16 $13 / 113 / 1313 / 15$
full [2] $12 / 2042 / 5$
fully [1] 28/3
further [13] $11 / 15 \quad 15 / 1 \quad 15 / 24 \quad 20 / 8 \quad 28 / 8 \quad 29 / 6$

29/22 31/19 32/5 32/14 34/10 35/5 35/5 furtherest [1] 41/7

## G

Gentleman [1] 37/13
Gentlemen [1] 29/2
geometry [1] $34 / 5$

gets [2] $32 / 3$ 32/6
getting [2] 6/15 28/14
give [2] 24/19 25/10
glad [1] 27/9
gladly [1] 16/1
glass [1] $25 / 3$
Glenn [3] $2 / 58 / 238 / 25$
go [15] 4/20 6/11 6/13 9/22 10/25 11/15 13/17 13/20 26/1 29/22 32/8 32/12 35/4 35/24 42/16 goes [2] 22/12 26/25
going [38] $9 / 24$ 9/25 11/19 12/15 17/7 17/8
18/21 19/3 19/7 20/23 21/1 21/3 22/14 22/23
23/4 23/8 25/23 26/21 27/5 27/10 28/3 32/2
32/4 32/13 35/2 35/4 35/23 35/24 36/3 36/7
36/11 36/15 36/22 37/3 37/17 39/13 39/15
39/19
gone [6] 17/16 19/5 21/7 21/16 23/2 27/9
gonna [1] 37/7
$\operatorname{good}[8]$ 7/11 $10 / 7$ 15/4 17/24 22/21 24/1
30/25 32/15
grab [1] 39/1
graciously [1] 25/6
grade [1] 31/9
Graham [3] $2 / 5$ 8/24 8/25
grant [2] 11/22 17/25
granted [2] 21/20 45/5
granting [1] 23/19
GRAY [1] $1 / 18$
great [1] 11/7
ground [4] 9/23 17/9 40/15 43/7
Group [1] 11/5
Grumarrow [1] 8/10
guess [4] 7/14 28/12 33/3 43/25
H
had [7] 5/5 15/19 17/16 27/8 28/11 32/24 36/9
half [13] $3 / 22$ 12/12 $12 / 14$ 12/17 12/21 $12 / 23$
33/25 34/4 39/15 39/19 42/4 42/7 42/9
hand [2] 37/14 46/11
hang [3] 38/11 38/24 39/2
happen [2] 31/22 31/23
happening [2] $15 / 415 / 7$
has [8] $11 / 25 \quad 13 / 1 \quad 18 / 3$ 20/2 $23 / 20 \quad 25 / 24$
25/25 40/11
hasn't [2] 11/9 29/11
have [73]
haven't [2] 37/6 39/24
he [10] 3/19 11/9 11/10 20/2 23/10 24/12
24/25 25/2 25/8 25/25
he'll [1] 26/17
he's [3] 24/20 25/9 29/4
heading [2] 43/25 44/2
hear [1] 18/6
hearing [7] $1 / 5$ 5/20 6/12 7/10 11/25 36/10 41/24
height [6] 14/21 22/5 22/7 22/17 22/18 35/22
helpful [2] 14/11 27/21
here [13] 3/8 3/20 7/11 8/25 11/6 15/19 20/12
26/21 31/16 33/19 37/15 39/5 41/15
hereby [1] 46/7
hereunto [1] 46/10
hidden [1] 38/15
high [1] 40/19
higher [6] 15/9 15/11 15/12 22/6 23/5 23/10
him [4] 3/19 3/24 3/24 24/16
his [12] 3/25 4/19 5/8 5/9 7/15 9/20 11/8 24/17
24/25 25/9 43/13 45/8
hold [3] 29/1 34/6 43/22


| N |
| :--- |
| near [1] 36/6 |

necessary [1] 18/14
need [4] 3/24 4/7 27/22 40/25
needed [1] 41/9
neighbor [7] 7/24 15/1 17/22 24/3 25/10 25/18 37/8
neighbor's [2] 5/16 16/20
neighbors [16] 5/14 15/18 16/8 18/6 20/12
20/19 22/22 24/24 34/25 38/1 39/4 41/4 41/7
41/19 43/16 45/9
never [1] 29/8
new [14] $1 / 21 / 102 / 42 / 74 / 135 / 28 / 69 / 5$
10/10 13/8 21/24 26/10 42/3 46/7
next [4] 25/11 42/13 43/7 43/11
no [23] $3 / 17$ 5/10 $6 / 2315 / 17$ 19/6 21/9 21/9
21/18 31/3 32/13 33/24 33/24 34/10 34/21
36/20 37/2 37/2 38/11 39/13 41/9 41/21 42/13
44/10
nod [1] 9/11
nodding [1] 20/2
noise [4] 36/12 38/19 40/10 40/14
noisy [1] 36/23
nonconforming [5] 10/22 11/20 13/5 20/22
30/9
north [5] 15/18 15/25 24/3 28/9 30/19
northerly [2] 37/22 38/6
not [59]
Notary [1] 46/6
note [1] 5/4
Noted [2] 18/17 45/19
notes [1] 46/9
nothing [2] 30/7 30/12
now [22] 3/18 6/13 14/18 14/25 15/21 16/11
17/16 18/3 18/10 19/1 20/10 21/2 22/7 25/7
26/15 29/15 32/23 35/12 36/4 36/5 37/16
40/14
number [8] 1/6 4/9 7/11 24/5 24/22 24/25 25/2 42/3
nutshell [1] 13/16
0
objecting [1] 40/9
obstruct [1] 16/20
obstructed [1] 23/3
obtained [1] 20/14
obviously [2] 8/20 25/7
ocean [9] $1 / 11 / 103 / 44 / 138 / 1315 / 124 / 11$
25/1 31/16
octagon [1] 16/25
off [8] 16/12 16/22 17/21 31/20 33/6 35/13
40/22 42/21
office [3] 7/2 7/4 $7 / 5$
Oh [1] 7/3
Okay [11] 3/23 7/8 18/5 20/7 23/7 23/24 43/2
43/10 43/23 44/12 44/21
one [24] 3/17 4/4 5/14 7/24 8/17 11/10 11/18
12/4 12/21 15/14 15/18 16/8 22/21 23/19
24/22 29/13 34/16 36/2 37/12 39/2 42/3 42/4 42/9 43/20
one-half [2] 42/4 42/9
ones [2] 26/14 40/4
only [11] 10/24 12/1 13/7 17/7 19/7 22/14
23/9 31/4 32/11 39/4 39/15
open [5] 6/2 18/7 20/10 33/9 33/10
opening [1] 20/18
opposed [1] 6/21
order [3] $3 / 34 / 86 / 1$
orders [1] 8/16
Orinoco [1] $2 / 6$
other [8] 5/3 16/6 21/11 23/15 26/22 41/10
41/21 42/18
our [11] 13/12 20/4 20/5 20/14 27/5 29/17
30/5 30/13 33/1 36/6 37/20
out [16] $6 / 25$ 7/9 12/17 13/22 13/23 14/5

16/10 16/11 16/13 19/11 32/12 32/22 34/23 36/22 45/7 45/17
outside [2] 19/9 28/22
over [1] 29/15
overall [3] 22/13 28/5 39/10
own [1] 24/18
owned [1] 8/19
owner [4] 8/18 9/11 13/1 15/25
owns [1] 11/5
P
p.m [1] 45/19
pads [1] 40/16
papers [1] 7/17
par [1] 8/1
parking [2] 19/19 19/20
parlance [1] 12/23
parses [1] 12/17
part [6] 7/20 10/16 11/25 13/6 30/23 37/6
parts [1] 13/7
passageway [2] 28/17 28/21
past [1] 29/20
patience [1] 7/13
paver [2] 29/8 44/11
pavers [8] 27/17 27/19 28/13 28/17 28/19
29/11 43/24 44/3
people [2] 11/11 12/23
per [1] 19/4
percent [7] 10/24 10/25 11/1 11/16 11/18
11/20 30/4
perhaps [1] 43/9
permit [11] $1 / 6$ 8/14 9/4 9/5 9/13 9/16 20/14
21/21 25/21 26/8 26/8
Personally [1] 24/15
perspective [1] $10 / 21$
phone [3] 3/16 3/24 3/25
phonetic [3] 8/11 9/15 39/5
pilings [2] 17/9 42/16
place [6] 6/10 39/23 40/21 40/25 41/2 43/14
placement [1] 43/18
plan [5] 18/2 27/25 28/19 34/18 37/1
planning [1] $3 / 20$
plans [29] 4/25 4/25 7/16 7/23 9/17 12/3 16/3
17/3 17/5 22/24 23/21 25/19 25/22 25/25 26/1
26/11 26/13 26/18 26/18 26/23 27/16 29/9
30/22 31/25 33/8 42/10 42/11 42/20 43/24
platform [1] 43/10
please [4] 6/11 6/25 15/13 22/4
point [5] 5/14 18/14 23/21 29/16 31/1
pole [1] 34/1
poll [1] 3/6
Pollack [2] 2/14 20/21
portion [2] 36/1 39/7
portions [1] 4/18
position [1] 10/6
possible [2] 37/9 37/24
potential [2] 5/25 6/4
preexisting [1] $13 / 5$
present [9] $2 / 103 / 5$ 3/10 $3 / 12$ 5/8 5/9 5/9 5/14
15/19
presentation [2] 9/21 $10 / 3$
presently [1] 43/21
preserve [2] 24/10 24/21
pretty [2] 26/3 33/12
prior [2] 8/18 36/10
probably [3] 9/11 36/15 39/9
proceed [1] 7/10
process [1] 32/9
project [2] 29/22 36/10
pronouncing [1] 4/11
properties [1] $8 / 6$
property [6] 8/2 8/4 8/19 9/9 15/25 44/5
proposed [2] 10/24 11/14
proposing [4] 4/21 9/21 13/2 14/14
protect [1] 41/3
provides [1] 28/16
public [15] $1 / 5$ 6/6 6/10 6/12 7/11 9/23 18/8
18/19 20/11 23/15 30/17 34/15 41/11 41/23
46/6
pull [1] 16/13
purchase [4] 11/12 11/21 11/24 44/16
purchased [1] 44/19
purchasing [2] 11/13 29/5
purpose [2] 6/17 29/9
purposes [1] 6/15
push [1] 32/2
pushes [2] 30/23 31/1
pushing [2] 31/5 32/21
put [11] 18/12 25/2 27/22 32/4 36/18 36/22
38/2 38/16 40/15 40/18 41/8
putting [5] 27/17 29/24 31/18 38/14 39/18

## Q

question [12] 13/21 20/19 20/22 22/20 27/12
28/20 29/13 34/16 36/3 37/12 37/13 38/5
questioning [1] 30/14
questions [2] 34/10 41/10
quick [1] 10/2
quorum [3] 3/5 3/14 4/2
R
railing [2] 25/3 25/3
raise [8] 10/18 12/8 21/21 21/23 22/16 24/14 24/17 26/8
raised [2] 15/10 21/22
rather [2] 7/22 44/5
ratio [4] 10/23 13/14 29/21 29/23
read [4] 5/4 7/18 7/21 30/22
reading [2] $3 / 255 / 21$
realize [1] 24/19
really [2] $25 / 1132 / 23$
rear [1] 32/24
reasonable [2] 43/12 43/15
reasons [1] 24/5
received [1] $5 / 22$
recitation [1] 15/4
recognize [2] 29/14 29/20
reconfiguration [1] 4/17
reconstruct [1] 15/22
reconstruction [1] 36/8
record [5] 5/4 7/20 7/23 18/13 18/16
redraft [1] 25/24
reduced [1] 28/5
reducing [1] 29/21
reduction [1] $11 / 1$
reference [1] 30/3
referring [1] 21/25
regard [1] 4/12
regarding [3] 4/13 5/25 9/22
rejected [2] 32/3 32/6
relate [1] 12/24
relative [1] 30/6
relocated [1] $12 / 5$
relocation [1] 41/19
remind [1] 19/17
remove [2] 14/14 21/1
removed [2] 10/16 21/4
removing [2] 28/1 28/10
renovate [1] 26/9
renovation [4] 29/17 29/18 30/5 30/8
renovations [1] 30/3
repeat [1] 15/14
replaced [2] 22/1 22/3
Reporter [1] 1/20
request [3] 16/8 17/2 28/6
requested [5] 4/24 8/15 15/19 17/22 42/2
required [7] 4/15 13/8 31/5 31/17 32/11 34/2

## 41/1

requirements [3] 4/15 4/16 27/7
requires [5] 13/3 18/22 27/6 29/18 31/6
reserve [1] 18/15
reserved [1] 20/7

## R

residence [1] 26/9
Resident [4] 2/14 2/15 2/16 2/17
resolution [1] 41/18
respects [1] $13 / 9$
respond [1] 30/1
rest [3] 5/20 24/21 41/18
restate [1] 15/13
Restaurant [1] 11/5
restaurants [1] 11/6
resubmit [3] 26/23 27/2 32/7
Revegetate [1] 26/10
revegetated [2] 28/3 28/13
revegetating [1] 27/20
review [1] 4/9
rid [2] $12 / 8$ 24/12
right [8] 3/17 8/3 18/15 19/1 20/7 21/2 29/15 39/23
roof [35] 14/23 14/24 15/8 15/12 15/17 $15 / 21$
15/24 16/12 23/18 24/11 24/25 31/9 32/17
34/20 34/22 34/24 35/11 35/12 35/14 35/18
$35 / 22$ 35/23 36/5 36/5 36/14 37/16 37/16
38/10 38/14 38/19 39/8 39/15 39/18 39/19
40/22
room [4] 10/15 10/15 18/4 36/21
rumor [1] 9/24

Safer [1] 24/17
safety [1] 30/6
said [5] 9/19 13/4 15/5 20/23 41/21
same [7] 4/4 13/6 17/19 17/19 24/8 26/5 35/22
sand [3] 42/16 43/7 43/8
satisfied [1] 45/18
satisfying [1] 13/24
Saturday [1] 3/1
saw [6] 11/22 17/25 27/16 32/19 33/8 37/14
say [13] $12 / 4$ 14/4 14/5 15/9 16/17 18/12
19/16 20/3 21/16 23/8 24/12 32/4 33/22
saying [4] $8 / 2$ 16/15 22/22 30/12
says [3] $4 / 37 / 526 / 8$
scenario [2] 31/21 32/3
Scharf [2] 2/15 24/2
Scharfs [2] 36/9 40/11
screen [1] $3 / 25$
screened [1] 40/6
screening [3] 36/22 40/9 40/13
second [24] 6/19 6/20 11/25 12/6 13/21 14/6
14/18 15/20 27/12 30/6 30/23 31/19 32/20 34/19 35/12 35/15 35/19 35/21 39/16 42/22 42/24 44/24 44/25 45/13
second-floor [3] 13/21 42/22 42/24
see [9] 3/23 7/10 8/6 9/11 16/24 20/11 25/4
26/7 28/10
seeing [1] 22/24
seems [1] 29/16
seen [2] 21/22 32/18
sense [4] 5/23 20/13 38/18 39/7
September [1] 8/15
September 1st [1] 8/15
session [6] 6/3 6/5 6/9 6/14 7/7 7/9
set [4] 7/23 18/25 35/9 46/10
setback [16] $13 / 2$ 13/16 13/24 14/3 17/20 24/6 24/7 27/18 28/18 28/22 28/23 28/24 32/11 41/6 44/8 44/10
setbacks [3] 29/11 38/13 38/25
several [1] 4/14
shape [1] $16 / 25$
Sherry [1] 9/15
shield [1] 38/19
shielded [3] 37/3 37/9 38/15
short [4] 6/9 12/7 13/18 15/6
should [11] 3/19 3/21 7/14 12/4 14/4 15/8
18/12 28/21 33/5 34/24 39/1
show [2] 28/25 43/21
shower [2] $12 / 2042 / 7$
shower/tub [1] 42/7
shown [2] 28/19 37/1
shows [1] 28/18

33/6 34/5 38/15 38/17 39/2 41/6 42/22 42/24

## 44/5 44/6

sidewalk [1] 24/9
sideways [1] 41/6
signed [2] 8/7 9/15
similar [1] 14/24
since [3] 11/10 14/8 27/18
sink [2] 12/22 42/8
sinks [1] $42 / 6$
site [8] 4/22 4/23 5/6 5/7 5/12 5/15 16/7 28/19
size [1] 39/10
skirted [2] 18/23 42/17
skirting [3] 18/24 19/3 33/20
slightly [1] $35 / 5$
small [1] 10/13
smaller [1] 39/9
so [46] 6/1 6/4 6/13 6/24 10/19 11/17 11/19
12/7 12/22 13/12 14/19 15/10 16/1 17/20
18/15 18/15 18/19 18/23 19/7 20/17 22/13
23/2 23/22 24/8 25/5 25/13 27/10 28/4 28/18
29/20 30/7 30/11 30/19 30/21 32/12 33/25
35/7 36/14 36/23 38/2 38/18 38/23 42/8 42/20
43/13 45/17
solution [1] 43/18
some [11] 5/2 5/22 6/10 7/18 8/5 10/14 21/12
24/11 25/10 36/17 37/17
somebody [1] 9/3
someone [2] $8 / 5$ 19/8
something [3] 14/9 20/17 31/24
sorry [1] 14/2
sort [1] 27/21
sound [1] 41/9
south [3] 15/22 35/5 35/24
southwest [2] 31/11 41/12
space [7] 19/2 19/18 21/2 21/9 21/10 37/17
41/9
spaces [1] 36/12
speak [4] 5/25 13/18 20/20 23/16
SPECIAL [1] $1 / 6$
specified [1] 45/5
spiral [3] 26/10 31/17 33/12
split [1] 39/12
spoiling [1] $31 / 15$
spoke [1] 24/7
spoken [1] 36/9
sprinklered [2] 19/22 20/3
sprinklering [1] 19/17
sprinklers [1] $33 / 20$
square [2] 24/13 28/12
squared [1] 17/21
squaring [1] $16 / 12$
staircase [9] $17 / 1426 / 1031 / 631 / 831 / 1733 / 4$
33/10 33/12 33/16
staircases [1] 33/20
stairs [2] 17/6 19/6
stairway [4] 17/10 17/10 42/13 42/18
Stamped [1] 26/12
standard [1] 8/5
standards [1] 11/9
start [1] 5/21
started [1] $3 / 21$
state [7] 5/2 5/10 6/7 9/6 10/5 20/17 46/7
stated [1] 10/11
statement [3] 7/15 13/18 15/6
States [2] 7/25 8/8
stay [1] 13/6
staying [1] 6/18
stenographic [1] 46/9
step [1] 6/25
stepped [1] 14/7
stepping [1] 15/9

Steve [3] $2 / 3$ 10/9 43/13
still [5] 16/12 17/20 18/5 35/9 41/8
stop [1] $8 / 16$
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllllll}\text { storage } & {[6]} & 10 / 14 & 19 / 14 & 19 / 18 & 19\end{array}$ 28/15
store [1] 21/12
stories [6] $10 / 1312 / 8 \quad 14 / 2314 / 2415 / 1415 / 17$
story [11] $9 / 25$ 14/21 14/22 15/7 22/23 30/6
35/12 35/14 35/16 35/19 35/21
strange [1] 27/8
street [4] 2/4 10/10 24/7 28/11
structure [13] 10/12 10/12 10/19 10/22 13/6
16/24 17/1 17/19 22/19 25/4 38/16 42/4 42/10
subject [1] 27/5
submit [2] 26/1 26/18
submitted [6] 4/10 5/1 8/23 9/17 12/3 26/15
substantial [6] 29/14 29/17 29/18 30/3 30/5
30/8
substantially [1] 25/24
suffering [1] 23/11
suggest [1] 28/14
suggestion [1] 5/16
suitable [1] 43/17
supercede [1] 27/7
superintendent [2] 8/8 8/10
support [2] 24/4 34/13
suppose [3] 8/22 9/9 21/10
supposed [1] 29/19
sure [7] 14/11 21/22 27/11 30/22 37/8 39/23 41/16
survey [1] 29/3
system [4] 36/16 38/12 39/8 39/14
systems [4] 40/3 41/20 43/14 43/19

## T

table [1] 40/19
take [5] 3/6 5/11 15/23 32/23 33/6
taken [1] 37/17
taking [1] 16/11
talked [1] 35/6
talking [5] 17/18 25/22 31/12 35/13 39/11
technically [2] 12/16 13/10
ten [1] 33/23
term [1] 43/3
terms [1] 16/18
than [11] 13/12 15/9 15/12 21/6 21/11 22/6
32/14 35/5 40/4 44/5 44/11
thank [16] 5/18 7/12 16/5 18/18 20/9 23/13
23/14 23/18 23/24 25/14 25/15 30/16 34/10
34/12 44/21 45/16
that [200]
that's [41] 5/18 8/4 10/1 10/22 11/10 13/16
13/21 13/23 14/25 15/6 15/16 15/25 18/13
18/23 19/3 19/23 20/4 20/5 20/6 21/3 22/2
23/1 23/5 24/4 27/20 27/21 28/11 29/10 30/14
30/25 31/15 31/18 31/20 31/24 33/7 33/17
34/2 37/1 37/18 40/19 43/1
their [5] $8 / 323 / 123 / 2141 / 2043 / 12$
them [20] 7/19 7/21 11/7 36/13 36/13 36/23
38/2 38/5 38/11 38/14 38/17 38/18 38/24 39/2
39/7 40/6 40/15 40/18 40/19 41/8
then [11] 9/16 12/6 14/21 14/23 15/12 15/17
27/9 28/21 28/24 32/3 32/8
there [41] 5/6 5/10 7/10 7/23 7/24 8/12 8/23
10/15 11/23 12/2 13/18 14/4 14/22 14/25 17/6
17/9 17/11 18/1 $18 / 3$ 18/8 $18 / 24$ 19/1 $19 / 6$
19/15 19/20 20/19 21/9 21/11 21/11 27/19
31/21 32/2 33/7 34/14 36/17 36/17 37/12
39/14 41/21 42/13 44/10
there's [15] 3/17 10/13 16/9 18/20 21/2 21/6
23/4 29/10 30/7 30/11 30/23 31/19 40/21 41/5

## 44/25

therefore [1] 26/4
they [31] $8 / 38 / 208 / 219 / 2211 / 612 / 1012 / 18$
26/4 26/22 27/8 27/9 27/11 27/17 27/22 27/24
28/9 29/21 29/22 32/4 32/7 36/7 38/9 38/14


